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INTRODUCTION

I did not cry when I learned my cousin Ronnie had been killed by the police. I asked my mom if the family was okay. I asked what happened. She told me they only knew the story the police were telling—that my cousin had inexplicably run out of his house shooting a gun and been shot dead by multiple officers. I told my mother to let me know if she needed anything and eventually hung up the phone.¹ I finished reading for the next day’s law school class and slept fitfully. I returned to school the next day.

My family had become another one of the thousands who lost loved ones to police shootings or other forms of state violence. In the days and years following the killings of Michael Brown, Eric Garner, and countless others, this seemed almost inevitable for a black and working-class family like mine. As of the writing of this piece, my family is still waiting to learn from the Mississippi Bureau of Investigations about the circumstances of Ronnie’s death.
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My family’s experience of being left in the dark as to the circumstances of our loved one’s death drove me to seek out other families to see if they shared these experiences. I started in St. Louis, Missouri at a summer internship with a nonprofit civil rights law firm called ArchCity Defenders (“ArchCity”) after my first year of law school. Within my first weeks at ArchCity, I was asked to investigate the death of Isaiah Hammett. Isaiah was twenty-one years old when he was brutally shot dead by a SWAT team in St. Louis. At one point, the family members sat together in the front room responding to our questions. Their tears fell inside a home that now sported bullet holes in the walls and a door that had been broken down by the police. As they shared their story, I saw what I had seen in my own family: confusion and a lack of understanding of what to do next. Isaiah’s family had joined a long and seemingly never-ending community of those who had lost loved ones to police and other forms of state violence in St. Louis: Cary Ball, Michael Brown, Tyler Gebhard, Louis Payton, Kiwi Herring. I decided then that I would connect with other St. Louis families to learn about their experiences and uncover how the law could help them, if at all.

I conducted multiple interviews of family members of five different people who had been killed by police or who died while in police custody in St. Louis. Despite the unique nature of each family member’s loss, I followed an actively anti-racist and feminist methodology, termed “feminist ethnography,” in which I conducted in-person and remote interviews with the surviving family members.  
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unearthed certain similarities. Almost uniformly, the families sought three things following the loss of their loved ones: information, justice, and support. Almost uniformly, the families faced similar barriers getting them.

This Article begins with a discussion of the barriers families face in gaining information on the circumstances of their loved ones’ deaths by police. It then turns to policies and practices regarding documentation of police incidents and other relevant government records, and how local government practices create obstacles to families’ access. The Article then explores Missouri’s existing public records law, how it should be used to address these barriers, and the ways it fails to do so today. Finally, the Article identifies potential approaches to overcoming these barriers and ensuring that families have access to the information they need.

I. FAMILIES JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT HAPPENED

“What they did to him that night . . . If I leave [this life], I’m going to still talk and try to fight to [find] out what happened to him.”

- Rauchandia Robinson, sister of Germane Robinson

Closure has been defined as the “peace, relief, a sense of justice, the ability to move on—that comes with finality,” but the term has different meanings based on those invoking it. For the families I interviewed, a critical aspect of getting closure is simply to learn what happened. The story of Germane Robinson’s family is just one that encapsulates this reality.

My first interview with Germane’s family happened around their dinner table in December 2018. Germane’s mother, Brenda, his sister, Rauchandia, and his brother, Anthony, invited me into their home. As we sat down, they introduced themselves and told me their names, their jobs, and about their loved one, Germane. As the family told me how they came to find out that Germane had died in police custody, it became readily apparent that this information was hard fought and still woefully incomplete. Rauchandia made repeated calls to the police department, hand delivered letters, and eventually hired an attorney on behalf of the families of those killed by police. Rather than simply using insights gained from those interviewed to write this Article alone, I instead engaged in a collaborative writing practice with those interviewed, resulting in multiple interviews and the opportunity for family members to give feedback and input on the findings and writing. For more information on feminist ethnography, see DANA-AIN DAVIS & CHRISTA CRAVEN, FEMINIST ETHNOGRAPHY 122–26 (Leanne Silverman et al. eds., 2016).

family just to get answers. To this day, the Clayton Police Department has still failed to provide sufficient information on the circumstances of Germane’s death.

Rauchandia will not stop until she finds out what happened to Germane. Her story is not so different from that of Toni Taylor, Marlene Gebhard, or Gina Torres. It took six months for Toni Taylor to receive the police report regarding the killing of her son, Cary Ball. It took almost a full year for Gina Torres to receive the police report associated with the killing of her son, Isaiah Hammett. For Marlene Gebhard, the materials provided by the police did not match the audio recording of witnesses to the killing of her grandson, Tyler Gebhard. Marlene spent hundreds of hours personally transcribing witness audio recordings due to the police department’s failure to provide accurate information.

II. THE INFORMATION FAMILIES SEEK EXISTS

Police officers who kill loved ones are generally the primary source of information as to the circumstances of death. However, officers may be subject to criminal sanctions or loss of employment for their actions. Therefore, families may find it difficult to get information directly from the source unless the officer is granted immunity of some sort. Relying on unwritten rules and customs, fellow officers are also unlikely to share information on shootings committed by another officer. Families are instead left to turn to the documents created by the police department, in the form of police reports or investigations, or other documents created by agencies like the Office of the Medical Examiner or the prosecutor’s office.
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The St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (“SLMPD”) created the Force Investigation Unit (“FIU”), an internal unit with the mandate to investigate “every St. Louis city officer who kills or wounds someone with a gun.” The FIU is tasked with creating reports of their investigations into police shootings. These reports supplement reports that may be created by the SLMPD Internal Affairs Division, which investigates citizen complaints about the conduct of SLMPD officers.

Other government agencies also create documentation that may help a surviving family piece together the circumstances of a loved one’s death. The first is the Office of the Medical Examiner. Under certain circumstances, Missouri law requires the police or any person having knowledge of deaths to immediately notify the county office of the medical examiner. These requirements attach for deaths that occur as a result of “[v]iolence by homicide” or deaths “[s]uddenly when in apparent good health; . . . [w]hile in the custody of the law, or while an inmate in a public institution.” The police or person with knowledge of the death is to provide the county’s medical examiner’s office with the “known facts concerning the time, place, manner and circumstances of the death.” Immediately upon notification, the office of the medical examiner must take charge of the body and conduct a full investigation of the facts “concerning the medical causes of death.” The St. Louis County Medical Examiner’s Office is to be notified for all such deaths occurring in the County. The report stemming from this investigation may be a valuable source for families to find information as to how the family’s loved one was killed, including both the cause and manner of death. However, if the prosecutor’s office or the police department plan to use the Medical Examiner’s investigation as part of their criminal
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investigation, the report is kept secret under Missouri law until the investigation becomes inactive.\textsuperscript{30}

Prosecutor’s offices may also provide information for families. At approximately the same time that the SLMPD started the FIU, the St. Louis Circuit Attorney’s Office (“CAO”) adopted a protocol in which it agreed to conduct an independent review of all police officer shootings resulting in injury or death that occur in the City of St. Louis.\textsuperscript{31} Under the adopted protocol, “[t]hese reviews occur after, and are fully independent of, an investigation undertaken by the SLMPD’s Force Investigation Unit (FIU).”\textsuperscript{32} These investigations are either done through a grand jury or the CAO may conduct the investigation itself.\textsuperscript{33} From the investigation, the CAO is to determine if “a criminal violation of Missouri law has occurred and if such violation can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt in a court of law.”\textsuperscript{34} In practice, the CAO’s investigation and subsequent report is largely based on the evidentiary findings of the FIU investigation, rather than evidence it has collected.\textsuperscript{35} This has prompted the current Circuit Attorney to seek additional funding to create an entirely separate investigative team.\textsuperscript{36} Until that team is created, it is questionable if the CAO reviews provide much new information for families beyond its determination of whether a criminal violation was committed.

III. THE MISSOURI SUNSHINE LAW AND THE AGENCIES THAT REFUSE TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW FAIL FAMILIES SEEKING INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR LOVED ONES’ KILLINGS

\textit{I'm trying to use [my lawyer] to get the answers for my son, and to fight for my son’s justice—But [the police], they
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give him problems. They won’t give him . . . everything that he’s been asking for.

- Gina Torres, mother of Isaiah Hammett37

Each call I made, each door I knocked on, it was closed or they sent me to someone else. And it was just so hurtful.

- Rauchandia Robinson, sister of Germane Robinson38

Missouri’s public records law, known as the “Sunshine Law,” should give surviving families relatively easy access to the information they need, but that is not the case.39 Missouri was an early adopter of open records laws.40 Similar to the federal Freedom of Information Act, the Sunshine Law was created to ensure transparency and openness as a matter of public policy.41 As a result, records made by government agencies in Missouri are presumed to be open to the public unless the law provides a specific exception for a particular record or type of record.42

According to the Sunshine Law, all incident reports and arrest reports are open records that should be provided by law enforcement if requested.43 However, the Sunshine Law also allows law enforcement agencies to redact information in incident reports.44 The Law only requires agencies to provide “the date, time, specific location, name of the victim and immediate facts and circumstances.”45 This means that information such as the name of the officer who killed the family’s loved one may be removed lawfully from an incident report, denying the family
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information they may deem valuable to understanding the full circumstances of their loved one’s death. Arrest records can also be kept secret if the person arrested is not charged within thirty days of the arrest.46

Law enforcement records created during an investigation into criminal activity are kept secret under the Sunshine Law until the investigation becomes inactive.47 This includes footage from cameras worn or carried by police and cameras installed in their cars.48 Investigations are considered inactive if “no further action will be taken by a law enforcement agency or officer for any of the following reasons”: (1) the law enforcement agency decides not to pursue the case; (2) the statute of limitations to file criminal charges has expired or it has been ten years since the alleged crime happened, whichever is earlier; or (3) those who allegedly committed the crime have been convicted and there are no further opportunities for them to appeal or challenge that conviction.49

This framework can be problematic for families seeking information. Under the first definition of “inactive,” the Sunshine Law leaves the availability of public records almost solely at the discretion of the law enforcement agency. While there may be pressure, both internally and externally, to decide whether or not to charge an officer who has killed someone, law enforcement could artificially keep an investigation open for years. Under the second definition, deeming an investigation inactive based on the statute of limitations provides similar concerns because there is no statute of limitations to file criminal charges for murder in the first or second degree.50 As a result, families could wait up to ten years before investigative reports become accessible.51 Even for charges of lesser degree homicide offenses, such as voluntary or involuntary manslaughter, families would still need to wait three years before the statute of limitations has run.52 As to the third definition, families cannot wait for an investigation to become inactive after the officer is convicted because
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police are rarely, if ever, charged for excessive force, let alone convicted.\textsuperscript{53}

Additionally, law enforcement agencies can redact investigative reports that should otherwise be open if they think the reports contain information that could pose a danger to victims or others involved in an investigation, undermine an ongoing investigation, or disclose “techniques, procedures or guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions.”\textsuperscript{54} Again, with this discretion to determine if content should be kept secret, law enforcement agencies can deprive families of the information they need for closure after the loss of loved ones.

The Sunshine Law does provide some hope for families seeking information that is otherwise kept secret under the law. First, a family member “may obtain a complete, unaltered, and unedited copy” of video or audio recorded by law enforcement of the killing by written request so long as the family member is a parent, sibling, or child of the deceased.\textsuperscript{55} Though St. Louis City has yet to fit all SLMPD officers with body cameras, St. Louis County began a body camera program in January 2020, making access to video records a more valuable provision of the Sunshine Law in the coming years.\textsuperscript{56} Additionally, a parent, sibling, or child can request in writing access to an “unaltered and unedited incident report” and additional otherwise closed records if they do so for the purpose of investigating a “civil claim or defense.”\textsuperscript{57} Law enforcement is required to respond within thirty days of the request with the information requested.\textsuperscript{58} If the law enforcement agency does not want to provide the information, it is the agency’s burden to file a motion in the state circuit court within thirty days of the request asking that the records stay closed. The agency
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must argue that the records’ release would jeopardize the safety of “the victim, witness or other individual” or of the ongoing criminal investigation.\(^59\) If law enforcement fails to respond to the request, families have standing to enforce the Sunshine Law provisions by filing a civil lawsuit and proving that the law enforcement agency or a particular officer knowingly or purposefully failed to provide the requested documents.\(^60\)

In practice, however, the Sunshine Law often fails to provide families with the information they need, as governmental compliance with public records requests made under the Sunshine Law is unlikely.\(^61\) In 2016, the State Auditor of Missouri estimated that around 65% of political subdivisions would not fully comply with public record requests based on its review of compliance.\(^62\) Little data exists today on the compliance rates with Missouri police departments. However, families interviewed for this Article noted that even with the help of legal counsel, they still have issues getting records from the SLMPD or other police departments.\(^63\) The SLMPD has also been sued for failing to provide records of police misconduct under the Sunshine Law as recently as 2015.\(^64\)

While the Sunshine Law provides an enforcement mechanism in cases of noncompliance, the law is so dependent on having a lawyer to obtain enforcement that families may still find themselves without access to information. Families effectively must file a case in the state circuit court to enforce the law if the agency claims an exception because the records are part of an open investigation.\(^65\) Worse, the circuit court can require payment of “reasonable and necessary costs and attorneys’ fees” from the family unless the court finds that the agency’s decision to keep the information secret was “substantially unjustified under all relevant circumstances.”\(^66\) Upon that finding, the court may require the law enforcement agency to pay the family’s costs and attorney’s fees.\(^67\)

The potential of being forced to pay the law enforcement agency’s costs and attorney’s fees may deter families from seeking enforcement of
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\(^{64}\) See Chasnoff v. Mokwa, 466 S.W.3d 571, 585 (Mo. Ct. App. 2015) (“As the trial court determined, the [St. Louis Board of Police Commissioners’] conduct during the course of this extensive litigation establishes its knowing violation of the Sunshine Law.”).
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the Sunshine Law. Lawyers can be costly and challenging to find, especially for the low-income families who disproportionately make up those killed by police. Going to court to seek enforcement is also required when agencies fail to provide arrest records and incident reports under the Sunshine Law. In that context, the law enforcement agency can be subject to civil penalties ranging from $1,000 to $5,000. Still, just to get the information they are entitled to under the law, families are often required to find an attorney to navigate the court system, creating a barrier to justice and information for those without means.

IV. IMAGINING A PATH FORWARD

My belief is that there needs to be someone in the police department who acts as a liaison . . . Not the police that are involved in the shooting, but someone who is a go-to person for these families to say, “What do I do next?” “How do I get my body . . . my child’s or my brother’s or my sister’s?” “What do I need to do?”

- Marlene Gebhard, grandmother of Tyler Gebhard

That goes in with them policing themselves. That needs to really change, too. They cannot investigate themselves.

- Toni Taylor, mother of Cary Ball

A. Developing Standards for Law Enforcement Interactions and Sharing of Records with Surviving Families

For the families I interviewed, the SLMPD was often involved in the death of their loved ones. Because of this, St. Louis families often must interact with SLMPD to obtain records. Today, the SLMPD does not have a “policy relative to sharing information with victim’s families.” Instead, “[d]etectives, regardless of the unit they work in, keep in contact
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with the victim’s families to the best of their ability and provide them with updates as they are available.”

One path forward is for the SLMPD and other law enforcement agencies to develop policies to both prevent killings and better support families in the face of killings.

In February 2019, the Institute for Innovation in Prosecution at John Jay College of Criminal Justice (IIP) released “The Prosecutor’s Role in Addressing Officer-Involved Fatalities And Critical Incidents: A Toolkit for Prosecutors and Communities, by Prosecutors and Communities.”

The Toolkit was created by a working group of community organizations, prosecutors, and family members of those killed by the police. The Toolkit provides prosecutor’s offices with steps they should take at different stages following an officer shooting (i.e., immediately, within twenty-four hours, within forty-eight hours, within two weeks, and within four to six months). For example, the Toolkit notes that the prosecutor’s office should have an established communications infrastructure that provides at least monthly updates to the family and public on the killing.

Organizations such as 21st Century Policing Solutions (21CPS) have worked with departments following police shootings to identify potential policies to prevent such shootings and to better address them when they happen. For example, in the wake of the Sacramento Police Department’s fatal shooting of Stephon Clark, 21CPS worked with California’s Department of Justice to review the Department’s “use of force policies, training, and practice” and write a report with recommendations. The recommendations are based on best practices of other police departments, such as the New Orleans Police Department, which has set timelines for reporting and investigations of officer shootings. The SLMPD and other police departments in the St. Louis area could take similar action and develop best practices to increase transparency and ensure that families receive information in a timely manner.
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B. Changing Missouri Public Records Laws to Increase Compliance

A second path forward is changing the Sunshine Law to better incentivize law enforcement and other government agencies to follow the law and provide information when families request it.

As discussed above, families can file a motion in circuit court to seek enforcement of the Sunshine Law. The Sunshine Law also allows an attorney general or prosecuting attorney to seek judicial enforcement of the law.83 Individuals or agencies can face civil penalties of up to $5,000 for willful violation of the Sunshine Law.84 Additionally, a person who knowingly violates the Sunshine Law can be found guilty of a misdemeanor with penalties that include up to one year of imprisonment.85 However, because families still have trouble obtaining information, Missouri could follow in the footsteps of other states and increase penalties for willful noncompliance with the Sunshine Law.86

For example, prior to 2017, Florida made agencies pay attorney’s fees automatically if a person brought a suit to enforce the state’s public records law.87 Given perceived abuse of the rule, the Florida legislature amended the law in 2017, removing the automatic awarding of attorney’s fees and instead requiring a finding that the agency unlawfully withheld information.88 The amended law also requires a party planning to file a claim to wait five days before commencing the lawsuit.89

Missouri could make its public records laws similarly strong. By simply removing the potential for state agencies to be granted attorney’s fees, the potential chilling effect on families seeking to enforce the Sunshine Law could be eliminated, and the state agency could be incentivized to comply with the Law.90

Missouri could also explore the alternative enforcement mechanisms used in other states. Though uncommon, some state statutes provide that
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83. See Schmitt, supra note 40, at 43.
84. MO. REV. STAT. § 610.100(6) (2016).
85. See Id. § 610.115 (“A person who knowingly violates any provision of section 610.100, 610.105, 610.106, or 610.120 is guilty of a class A misdemeanor.”); see also MO. REV. STAT. § 558.011(6) (2016) (“The authorized terms of imprisonment, including both prison and conditional release terms, are . . . [f]or a class A misdemeanor, a term not to exceed one year . . . .”).
88. See id.; see also FLA. STAT. § 119.12(1)(a) (2019) (“The agency unlawfully refused to permit a public record to be inspected or copied . . . .”).
89. FLA. STAT. § 119.12(1)(b) (2019); see also Dietzen, supra note 87.
90. See COHEN, supra note 68 (discussing the chilling effect of awarding attorney’s fees on plaintiffs filing civil lawsuits).
those who violate the public records law may be removed from office.91 In other states, like Minnesota, those who willfully violate the state’s open records law may be subject to suspension without pay as well as removal from office.92

C. Developing Community-Based Alternatives to Hold Law Enforcement Accountable to Surviving Families

Both of the above approaches depend on the participation of relevant police departments and/or prosecutor’s offices. As criminology professor Samuel Walker has said, “[p]rosecutors work with police day in, day out, and typically they’re reluctant to criticise them or investigate them.”93 It is unlikely that prosecutors will prosecute for failure to comply with public records laws following a police shooting, as prosecutors rarely even charge police officers for killing people.94 Additionally, the St. Louis Circuit Attorney’s Office has repeatedly been unable to obtain investigative reports from the FIU of the SLMPD to conduct its own review and investigation into police misconduct.95 This reveals the serious challenge prosecutors face with holding police officers accountable following instances of fatal violence.

One alternative would be using an independent body or government agency to conduct investigations separate from the existing approaches by police and prosecutor’s offices. An independent body could provide information directly to families while bypassing the conflicts of interest that arise with police departments and prosecutor’s offices.96 But while the creation of a new body may be preferable, it would likely include significant costs for a local government that regularly claims financial constraints.97 However, the office of the coroner and St. Louis City’s Civilian Oversight Board (“COB”) provide two options already in existence.

With roots in medieval England, coroners are generally elected government officials responsible for the investigation of unexplained

91. See Hull, supra note 86.
92. MINN. STAT. § 13.09(b) (2018).
94. See Fischer-Baum, supra note 53.
96. See Paul MacMahon, The Inquest and the Virtues of Soft Adjudication, 33 YALE L. & POL’Y REV. 275, 278 (2015) (“Because of the intimate relationship between police and prosecutors, the criminal process is systematically likely to favor officers accused of misconduct, and charging decisions are veiled in secrecy.”).
97. See, e.g., Trager, Body Cams, supra note 56 (“News 4 reached out to St. Louis Metropolitan Police Chief John Hayden. His office says he's also supportive of cameras, but also said it was just a matter of funding.”).
For the American colonists, this meant “convening an inquest jury to investigate any unexplained death.” Today, many states have abolished coroners in favor of a medical examiner system. Under the medical examiner system, medical professionals conduct their investigations privately rather than through an inquest jury or other public mechanism. Coroner inquests, on the other hand, are generally held in public view and operate separately from criminal or civil proceedings. While inquests do not necessarily result in prosecutions or civil liability, their search for truth could result in some benefit for families going through the grieving process. Specifically, they could help families seeking “a form of recognition for the significance of the death” by “expressing the value of the human life lost.”

Another option is the COB, which has the authority to conduct investigations “upon complaints by members of the public against members of the police department that allege misconduct involving excessive use of force . . . .” The Board is made up of seven members who are appointed after nomination by the mayor and confirmation by the City’s Board of Alderman. In reality, the SLMPD Internal Affairs division conducts all investigations. The COB then reviews these reports to make its own determination as to the use of force. Since the COB relies on the police department to conduct investigations, the organization provides little additional support for families seeking information. However, one recent change to increase the power of the COB has been the grant of subpoena powers, so that it can get information
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106. Ryan Krull, St. Louis’ Civilian Oversight Board Almost Always Agrees with Internal Affairs, RIVERFRONT TIMES (Apr. 2, 2018), https://www.riverfronttimes.com/newsblog/2018/04/02/st-louis-civilian-oversight-board-almost-always-agrees-with-internal-affairs (“Individuals who feel they’ve been a victim of police misconduct can file a complaint through a Joint Civilian Complaint Form with the board. The complaint is then forwarded to Internal Affairs, and Barton says it is Internal Affairs that “does all the initial investigation, collecting witness statements as well as audio, video and medical reports, and then they turn that information over to us.””).
on its own. To further establish the Board’s investigative function, the city could raise or divert funding from other sources to the COB. The city is considering such a proposal for the Circuit Attorney’s Office, indicating that such a proposal is feasible. As an independent body meant to provide citizen oversight of the SLMPD, the COB may be more effective if the institution serves as an independent source of information on killings by police.

D. Investing in Alternatives to Policing

Police are “licensed to kill.” The use of deadly force is embedded in their job description and increasingly permitted by the Supreme Court. Yet, police are generally the primary government actors tasked with the provision of public safety. Given the inherently violent nature of policing, a separate approach to consider is to invest in alternative models of public safety and divert from the current system that results in so many civilian deaths.

This year, after significant organizing effort led by the Coalition Against Police Crime and Repression, the city of St. Louis authorized $500,000 in funding to launch a violence reduction program, one potentially viable alternative to policing. In August 2019, St. Louis
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111. See Marcus R. Nemeth, How Was That Reasonable? The Misguided Development of Qualified Immunity and Excessive Force by Law Enforcement Officers, 60 B.C. L. REV. 989, 1016 (2019) (“[The] excessive force doctrine and qualified immunity doctrine . . . increasingly afford police the benefit of the doubt . . . . Furthermore, the [Supreme] Court has provided a way for police to make rash decisions and simultaneously hide behind the shield of qualified immunity in almost all deadly force occurrences.”); see also, e.g., Kisela v. Hughes, 138 S.Ct. 1148, 1162 (2018) (Sotomayor, J. dissenting) (“[The majority’s] decision is not just wrong on the law; it also sends an alarming signal to law enforcement officers and the public. It tells officers that they can shoot first and think later, and it tells the public that palpably unreasonable conduct will go unpunished.”).

112. The Coalition Against Police Crime and Repression provides support for families in the immediate aftermath of killings by police and advocates for policy goals including ending police crimes and abuse, ending the criminalization of a generation, and exposing the prison industrial complex. See CAPCR-STL, https://www.capcr-stl.org/ (last visited Nov. 26, 2019).

Mayor Lydia Krewson began the process of appropriating additional funding to open a Cure Violence center. Cure Violence is “a teaching, training, research and assessment NGO focused on a health approach to violence prevention” that provides one alternative model to public safety. The Cure Violence model has been used in fifty different communities across the United States, with “reductions in violence within the first year ranging from 40-70% and greater reductions in subsequent years.” Assuming the program is successful, St. Louis should consider adopting these efforts across the city as soon as possible to minimize violence and the need for interaction with the police.

CONCLUSION

Families whose loved ones have been killed by the police deserve to know the circumstances of their loved ones’ death. In theory, Missouri’s Sunshine Law guarantees this right to information for families. In reality, families face many barriers to accessing this information. These barriers could be lifted with increased investment in compliance with the Sunshine Law, oversight mechanisms to hold law enforcement accountable, and public safety alternatives.

It is important to recognize, however, that unfettered public access to government records can have negative consequences. Members of the press often rely heavily on public records to tell their stories. While use of public records may often “help reporters spotlight problems and initiate change,” media has a longstanding problem of exhibiting bias against racial minorities. After the killing of Michael Brown—a case in which journalists accused the local government of obstructing their access to public records—some media sources branded Brown as a “thug” and blamed him for his own death because of their construction of his
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This portrayal can negatively impact not only families who see their loved ones vilified and dehumanized in the news, but also judicial proceedings and the ways the public understands police shootings.\textsuperscript{122} Open access can also provide opportunities for potential employers, landlords, or the general public to stigmatize those with criminal records, evictions, or other government records.\textsuperscript{123}

The case in St. Louis is different, however. The Sunshine Law, as it stands, is narrowly tailored to provide information only to those seeking to file a lawsuit.\textsuperscript{124} Those interviewed for this research only offered one potential expansion: that the information should be provided generally to families seeking information in situations of fatal state violence, not only to those preparing a civil lawsuit.\textsuperscript{125}

As Gina Torres told me, the loss of a loved one to state violence is something you would “never wish . . . on anybody’s family.”\textsuperscript{126} The local and state governments of Missouri can prevent the need for families to get information on their loved ones’ death by never allowing the deaths to happen in the first place. More than 1,000 people are killed by the police every year in the United States, with Black people as the most likely targets.\textsuperscript{127} No family will ever be safe from the loss of a loved one as long as this trend continues.

It is my prayer that what happened to my cousin Ronnie never happens to another person. Like Gina, I hope that no other family has to experience the isolation and heartache of such a loss and the subsequent reality of being ignored by the very government that is supposed to protect you. However, until we transform our system of government and reach that future state of the world, law enforcement and all government agencies
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involved in the killings of our loved ones should end their silence and shed light on one of the darkest actions of our government and often worst instances in surviving families’ lives.