The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) is a critical centerpiece of President-Elect Joe Biden’s messaging and proposed agenda on championing women’s rights and equality once he is inaugurated President of the United States in January 2021. Biden has touted his extensive history with the legislation as a testament to his commitment to ending gendered and domestic violence, calling VAWA his “proudest legislative accomplishment.” While the legislation has arguably contributed to a revolution in the national culture around domestic violence and made significant advancements in increasing protections for some, the bill that Biden sponsored in 1993 and its subsequent iterations have not been successful in protecting all women, particularly Black women, against violence. This article will explore the blind spot that plagues VAWA’s pro-arrest policies and endangers the lives of Black girls and women through increased exposure to police and racial and gender stereotyping, which leads to a greater likelihood of arrest, brutality, and involvement with the justice system. The article will also evaluate how the 2019 House and Senate reauthorization bills attempt to address this blind spot, theoretically allowing Biden to supplant the mixed legacy of the legislation, and suggest that more can be done to include Black women in legislation designed to prevent violence against women.

The particular policies most harmful to Black women and girls are the mandatory arrest and pro-arrest provisions. Biden’s proposed bill in 1993 advocated for “model, innovative, and demonstration law enforcement programs relating to domestic violence that involve pro-arrest and aggressive prosecution policies.” Congress ultimately incorporated and passed the 1993 bill into law through the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994. This legislation converted Biden’s “pro-arrest and aggressive prosecution policies” into grants for the implementation of “mandatory arrest or proarrest programs and policies in police departments.” In domestic violence situations, mandatory arrest policies require police responding to the incidents to make warrantless arrests if there is probable cause to
believe any violence occurred, even if the arrest goes against the alleged victim’s wishes or involves the arrest of the alleged victim herself. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Acts of 2005 and 2013 replaced the “mandatory arrest” language with a strong, pro-arrest approach. The language and incentives associated with the 1994 legislative text and subsequent reauthorizations have also led to increased adoption of mandatory or pro-arrest state policies in domestic violence cases.

VAWA’s pro-arrest policy approach leaves Black women exposed to increased risk of police violence during incidents of domestic violence. VAWA’s mandatory arrest provisions led to increased rates of police violence within Black communities. A 2007 report to the Department of Justice also found that the expansion of mandatory arrest policy at the state level led to the increased likelihood of dual arrest during domestic violence calls. Dual arrest occurs when police responding to a domestic violence call cannot determine which person is the “primary aggressor”, so they arrest both the perpetrator and the victim. This practice has resulted in an increase in the number of Black women arrested during domestic violence calls. Disproportionate police abuse and brutality against Black girls and women likely arises from damaging and dehumanizing societal images of Black girls and women, such as the “Mammy”, “Jezebel” and “Sapphire” stereotypes. #SayHer-Name, a campaign by the African American Policy Forum intended to raise awareness for the Black women and girls who have been victimized by police brutality, documented a number of police killings of Black women that took place during police responses to domestic violence situations in the context of this pro-arrest approach.
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The increased likelihood of arrest and brutality associated with VAWA’s pro-arrest approach help pave the pathway for Black girls’ involvement with the juvenile justice system. Even though the purpose of VAWA was not to address intra-family domestic disputes between parents and their children, VAWA-inspired pro-arrest policies in state domestic violence law led to increased arrests of Black girls in domestic disputes.18 Black girls are arrested and detained for intra-family in-home disputes at disproportionate rates, which increased compared to boys’ arrests between 1996 and 2005 after VAWA was passed.19 Black girls are also more likely to experience police violence correlated with police belief in the Sapphire stereotype.20 Police often perceive Black girls to be too “loud” or to allegedly “[talk] back” to authority figures.21 The perceived disrespect possibly triggers a masculinity or legitimacy threat to male officers and results in incidents of unjustified police violence against Black girls.22 The subjective determinations of loudness arise from the racialized police perception of these stereotypical traits in Black girls, and have disastrous effects when paired with exposure to pro-arrest policies in response to domestic violence calls.23

The routine reauthorization of VAWA in 2021 presents an opportunity for Congress to begin to rectify the pro-arrest policy approach and for Biden to redeem himself on the claim that his signature piece of legislation protects all women. The 2020
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reauthorization hung in limbo throughout the 116th Congressional session due to disagreement in the two chambers of Congress on an unrelated gun violence issue. Both versions of the reauthorization bill, however, struck the “proarrest” language in the purpose of the legislation and replaced it with “offender accountability and homicide reduction,” purportedly to improve the criminal justice response and increase survivor and community safety through “voluntary alternative and community-based methods of survivor safety and community perpetrator accountability.” This amendment contributes to a slight shift in the original framing of the bill from a 1990s “tough on crime” law that focused on funding increased activity of law enforcement to a framing that prioritizes non-law enforcement endeavors to address violence against women, such as transitional housing and civil legal assistance. In 2021, the new Democrat-controlled congress has an opportunity to make this shift.

Although these policies are in the spirit of activists’ recommendations to shift resources away from law enforcement and into communities, they do not yet sufficiently protect Black women and girls against domestic and police violence. Activists have also called for replacing juvenile justice processing after experiences of domestic violence with state Medicaid and community health system services, federally funding community-oriented initiatives, and further research to develop model state domestic violence legislation. While Biden may be able to superficially sanitize the legacy of his signature piece of legislation in accordance with a focus on racial justice by continuing to associate his name with this latest reauthorization of VAWA, he must publicly acknowledge the harms against Black women in particular and advocate for the more progressive policies recommended by activists going forward.

The damaging consequences of the 1994 VAWA and subsequent reauthorization bills on Black women were perhaps unintended, but not unforeseeable given the systemic racism of law enforcement as an institution. The next VAWA reauthorization and the next four years of the Biden-Harris administration present an opportunity for change, progress, and the creation of a platform to uplift experiences that may previously have fallen into political and legislative blind spots. The women
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VAWA aims to protect do not belong to a monolith, and subsequent legislative attempts should be guided by an understanding of intersecting oppressions at the crossroads of race and gender. Particularly in the fight against sexual and gendered violence, the next administration should seek to center the lived experiences and lessons of Black female survivors and activists.