

**BRIAN WOLFMAN**  
(202) 661-6582; (301) 814-2138  
wolfmanb@georgetown.edu

**Full-time legal employment**

*Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, D.C.* 2016-present  
Professor from Practice (2021-present)  
Associate Professor of Law (2016-2021)  
Director, Appellate Courts Immersion Clinic  
Directing full-time, law-school clinic that litigates cases nationwide in courts of appeals and in the U.S. Supreme Court  
Faculty Director, Blume Public Interest Law Scholars Program  
Current courses: *Appellate Courts and Advocacy Workshop* and *Blume Public Interest Scholars Seminar*

*Stanford Law School, Stanford, California* 2014-2016  
Professor of the Practice of Law  
Co-Director, Supreme Court Litigation Clinic (2015-2016)  
Co-directing clinic that handled cases in the U.S. Supreme Court

*Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, D.C.* 2009-2014  
Visiting Professor of Law  
Co-Director, Institute for Public Representation  
Headed law-school civil-rights clinic that handled trial and appellate litigation  
Courses taught: *Federal Courts and the Federal System* and *Appellate Courts*

*Public Citizen Litigation Group, Washington, D.C.* 1990-2009  
Director, *Litigation Group*, 2004-2009  
General Counsel, *Public Citizen*, 2004-2009  
Staff lawyer, *Litigation Group*, 1990-2004  
Practice areas: Public-interest Supreme Court and appellate litigation; consumer health and safety; drug and medical device regulation; federal preemption; class actions; access-to-the-courts litigation; freedom of information and open government law; poverty law

*Legal Services of Arkansas, Little Rock, Arkansas* 1985-1990  
Staff lawyer for rural legal services program  
Represented poor people in all areas of poverty law in federal and state courts and administrative agencies

*U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Macon, Georgia* 1984-1985  
Law clerk to Judge R. Lanier Anderson, III

## Professional appointments

*Clients' Security Fund of the D.C. Bar* 1999-2004, 2018-2023  
Trustee, appointed by D.C. Court of Appeals for five-year terms  
Chair, 2022-2023, 2003-2004; Vice-Chair, 2021-2022, 2002-2003  
Investigate and rule on claims that D.C. Bar members stole money or other property entrusted to them by clients

*Institute of Medicine of the National Academies* 2010-2011  
Member, Committee on the Public Health Effectiveness of the FDA 510(k)  
Clearance Process  
Co-wrote report entitled *Medical Devices and the Public's Health: The FDA 510(k) Clearance Process at 35 Years*

*American Law Institute, Philadelphia, PA* 2004-2009  
Advisor on the Principles of the Law of Aggregate Litigation  
Member of expert panel of advisors regarding creation of comprehensive ALI treatise on the law of class actions and other aggregate litigation

*Wasserstein Public Interest Fellow, Cambridge, MA* November 1993  
Advised students at Harvard Law School on careers in public-interest law

## Academic appointments (adjunct)

*Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA* 2004-present  
Visiting Professor of Practice  
Teach course on appellate courts

*Vanderbilt University Law School, Nashville, TN* November 2008  
Adjunct Professor and Distinguished Visiting Practitioner of Law  
Taught course on public-interest litigation

*Washington College of Law, Washington, DC* 1997-2005, 2009  
Adjunct professor  
Taught course on appellate courts and advocacy

*Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA* January 2001  
Irvine Visiting Lecturer in Law  
Taught appellate courts seminar

*Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, DC* Fall 1995, Fall 1997  
Adjunct professor  
Taught courses on professional responsibility and appellate advocacy

## Education

Harvard Law School, J.D., 1984  
University of Pennsylvania, B.A., 1978

## Publications

“How to Conclude a Brief,” 20 *Legal Comm. & Rhetoric* 117 (2023) (also available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3992861>)

“Some Thoughts on Reply Briefs,” 23 *J. App. Prac. & Process* 395 (2023) (also available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4199626>)

“Department of Homeland Security v. Regents of the University of California and its Implications,” 110 *Geo. L.J. Online* 135 (2021) (also available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3846903>).

“The Dreaded Parenthetical,” 34 *The Second Draft* No. 3 (Dec. 9, 2021), <https://www.lwionline.org/article/dreaded-parenthetical> (also available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3848789>)

“*Mutual Pharmaceutical Co. v. Bartlett* and Its Implications,” 82 *U.S.L.W. (BNA)* 627-635, 667-676 (Oct. 29 & Nov. 5, 2013), and 41 *Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr.* 1236 (BNA) (Oct. 14, 2013) (lead author)

“Judges! Stop Deferring to Class-Action Lawyers,” 2 *U. Mich. J.L. Reform* (on-line) 80A (2013)

“*PLIVA v. Mensing* and Its Implications,” 39 *Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr. (BNA)* 972 (Sept. 5, 2011) (lead author)

“*Wyeth v. Levine* and Its Implications,” 37 *Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr. (BNA)* 550 (May 11, 2009), and 24 *Toxics Law Rptr. (BNA)* 619 (May 21, 2009)

“Foreword: The National Association of Consumer Advocates’ Standards and Guidelines for Litigating and Settling Consumer Class Actions (Second Edition),” 255 *F.R.D.* 215 (2009)

“Why preemption proponents are wrong,” 43 *Trial* 20 (March 2007)

“What the *Shutts* Opt-Out Right Is and What It Ought to Be,” 74 *U. Mo. K.C. Law Rev.* 729 (2006) (lead author)

“The FDA’s Argument for Eradicating State Tort Law: Why It Is Wrong and Warrants No Deference,” 21 *Toxics Law Rptr. (BNA)* 516 (May 25, 2006), and 34 *Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr. (BNA)* 308 (Mar. 27, 2006) (co-author)

“*Bates v. Dow Agrosciences*: Will the Lower Courts Finally Start Listening?”  
3 Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr. (BNA) 949 (Sept. 26, 2005) (co-author)

“Preventing the Subversion of *Devlin v. Scardelletti*,” 6 Class Action Litigation (BNA) 453  
(June 24, 2005)

“A Section-by-Section Analysis of the Class Action Fairness Act,” 6 Class Action Litigation  
(BNA) 365 (May 27, 2005) (co-author)

“Let a Hundred Cases Wither: Proposal to require opt-in class actions would weaken  
consumers’ weapon, freeing companies to cheat again,” *Legal Times* 58 (May 9, 2005) (co-  
author)

“A real pick-up fix: Response to Thornburgh,” *Legal Times*, Aug. 6, 2001, A25

Monograph, *Preemption Under the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966:  
Strategies for Plaintiffs’ Lawyers in Vehicle-Defect Cases* (Independent Counsel Resources  
1998) (lead author)

“Preempting the preemption defense,” 34 *Trial* 54 (July 1998) (lead author)

“Preserving the Class Action by Fighting its Abuse,” 4 *The Consumer Advocate* 10  
(May/June 1998)

“Foreword: National Association of Consumer Advocates’ Standards and Guidelines for  
Litigating and Settling Consumer Class Actions,” 176 F.R.D. 370 (1998)

“Class actions for the injured classes,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, Nov. 14, 1997, B-11 (also  
published in *San Francisco Daily Journal* and *Los Angeles Daily Journal* on October 17,  
1997)

“Representing the Unrepresented in Class Action Settlements,” 71 N.Y.U. Law Rev. 439  
(1996) (lead author)

“Litigating Pre-emption Issues After *Medtronic v. Lohr*,” 11 Toxics L. Rptr. (BNA) 296  
(Aug. 1996), and 24 Prod. Safety & Liab. Rptr. (BNA) 832 (Sept. 1996) (abridged and  
amended versions also published in various state trial bar periodicals) (lead author)

“*Medtronic v. Lohr*: Its Effect on Breast Implant Litigation,” Vol 4, No. 8, Med. Legal  
Aspects of Breast Implants (July 1996)

“Child Care, Work, and the Federal Income Tax,” 3 Am. Journ. Tax Pol. 153 (1984)

Contributions to *ALSSC Reporter*, the newsletter of the Arkansas Legal Services Support Center, and op-ed pieces

## Casework

### *United States Supreme Court*

*(Not listed: extensive certiorari-stage work, including as lead counsel on approximately 35 petitions for certiorari and 30 oppositions to certiorari and representing amici in many cases)*

*Muldrow v. City of St. Louis*, 144 S. Ct. 967 (2024) (whether and under what circumstances discriminatory job transfers are actionable under Title VII) (lead counsel)

*FCC v. Prometheus Radio Project*, 141 S. Ct. 1150 (2021) (whether the FCC properly relaxed its media ownership rules under the Administrative Procedure Act in light of their effects on ownership by women and people of color) (co-counsel)

*Advocate Health Care Network v. Stapleton*, 581 U.S. 468 (2017) (whether ERISA plans maintained, but not established, by certain church affiliates are “church plans” and thus exempt from ERISA’s retiree protections) (lead counsel for amicus national employment-law organization)

*Andrew F. v. Douglas County Sch. Dist.*, 580 U.S. 386 (2017) (establishing definition of “free appropriate public education” under the federal special-education statute, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Green v. Brennan*, 578 U.S. 547 (2016) (when administrative filing period commences for a Title VII constructive-discharge claim) (lead counsel)

*Oneok, Inc. v. Learjet, Inc.*, 575 U.S. 373 (2015) (whether federal Natural Gas Act preempts state antitrust claims regarding market manipulation of retail natural gas sales) (co-counsel)

*McBurney v. Young*, 569 U.S. 221 (2013) (whether state statute limiting right of access to public records to citizens of the state violates Article IV’s Privileges and Immunities Clause and the dormant commerce clause) (principal co-counsel).

*U.S. Airways, Inc. v. McCutchen*, 569 U.S. 88 (2013) (whether ERISA contact abrogates equitable common-fund doctrine) (lead counsel for consumer amicus)

*National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*, 567 U.S. 519 (2012) (whether the Anti-Injunction Act barred suit seeking to enjoin operation of the Affordable Care Act) (principal co-counsel for amici former commissioners of the Internal Revenue Service)

*Elgin v. Department of Treasury*, 567 U.S. 1 (2012) (whether the Civil Service Reform Act precludes a federal district court from granting a federal employee equitable relief on a constitutional claim against that employee’s federal employer) (principal co-counsel)

*Minnecci v. Pollard*, 565 U.S. 118 (2012) (whether *Bivens* authorizes a damages suit for violations of the Eighth Amendment against employees of a private prison corporation operating a federal prison) (principal co-counsel)

*Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates, P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 559 U.S. 393 (2010) (whether New York’s ban on class actions in certain civil cases applies in federal court under *Hanna* and *Erie*) (principal co-counsel)

*Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter*, 558 U.S. 100 (2009) (whether a district court’s rejection of an attorney-client privilege claim is immediately appealable under the collateral-order doctrine) (principal co-counsel)

*Richlin Security Service Co. v. Chertoff*, 553 U.S. 571 (2008) (whether paralegal services are reimbursable at market rates under the Equal Access to Justice Act) (lead counsel)

*Taylor v. Sturgell*, 553 U.S. 880 (2008) (regarding federal common law and due process limits on *res judicata*) (principal co-counsel)

*Warner-Lambert Co., LLC v. Kent*, 552 U.S. 440 (2008) (equally divided court) (whether state statute authorizing liability arising from prescription drugs, where manufacturer deceived FDA in gaining marketing approval, is preempted by federal law) (principal co-counsel)

*Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.*, 552 U.S. 312 (2008) (whether federal law preempts state-law products liability claim arising from medical device that has received FDA pre-market approval) (principal co-counsel)

*Jones v. Flowers*, 547 U.S. 220 (2006) (whether government has obligation, under due process clause, to take additional reasonable steps to attempt notice to homeowner before selling her property when mailed notice of tax sale is returned unclaimed) (principal co-counsel)

*Lockhart v. United States*, 546 U.S. 142 (2005) (whether Debt Collection Act permits federal government to offset a debtor’s social security benefits to collect student loan debt that has been outstanding for more than 10 years) (lead counsel)

*Scarborough v. Principi*, 541 U.S. 401 (2004) (whether statute of limitations under the Equal Access to Justice Act, is jurisdictional or, rather, is subject to common-law equitable exceptions) (lead counsel)

*Barnes v. Gorman*, 536 U.S. 181 (2002) (whether punitive damages are available under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Devlin v. Scardelletti*, 536 U.S. 1 (2002) (whether an absent class member may appeal approval of class action settlement to which he had timely objected without first having intervened in action) (principal co-counsel)

*Norfolk Southern Railway Company v. Shanklin*, 529 U.S. 344 (2000) (whether federal railroad laws and regulations preempt state-law tort claims alleging inadequate warnings at railroad crossings) (principal co-counsel)

*Buckhannon Bd. and Care Home, Inc. v. West Virginia Dept. of Health and Human Resources*, 532 U.S. 598 (2001) (whether “catalyst theory” authorizes recovery of attorney fees under federal statutes that authorize awards to the “prevailing party”) (lead counsel for amici Public Citizen and American Civil Liberties Union)

*Buckman Co. v. Plaintiffs’ Legal Committee*, 531 U.S. 341 (2001) (whether federal law preempts a state-law damages claim alleging injury from a regulated entity’s fraud on a federal agency) (principal co-counsel for amicus Public Citizen)

*El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Neztosie*, 526 U.S. 473 (1999) (case concerning the preemptive breadth of the Price Anderson Act amendments to the Atomic Energy Act) (lead counsel for amici Public Citizen et al.)

*Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor*, 521 U.S. 591 (1997) (whether class action settlement seeking to resolve future asbestos personal-injury claims against large segment of asbestos industry can withstand Rule 23, due process, and justiciability challenges), *aff’g Georgine v. Amchem Prods., Inc.*, 83 F.3d 610 (3d Cir. 1996) (lead counsel for respondent labor union, asbestos worker, and advocacy group litigants)

*Medtronic, Inc. v. Lohr*, 518 U.S. 470 (1996) (whether federal medical device laws preempt state-law product liability claims) (lead counsel)

*Ticor Title Ins. Co. v. Brown*, 511 U.S. 117 (1994) (per curiam dismissal of writ) (whether Rule 23 and due process require a right to opt out of a damages class action) (co-counsel for amicus Public Citizen)

*Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292 (1993) (procedural issue under federal attorney’s fees statute) (lead counsel)

*Ardestani v. INS*, 502 U.S. 129 (1991) (whether federal fee-shifting statute applies to certain administrative deportation proceedings) (principal co-counsel)

*Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89 (1991) (precursor to *Schaefer* above) (lead counsel)

*Connecticut v. Doehr*, 501 U.S. 1 (1991) (due-process challenge to state statute permitting *ex parte* attachment of real property) (principal co-counsel)

### *United States Courts of Appeals*

*Moore v. Walton*, 96 F.4th 616 (3d Cir. 2024) (concerning when a complaint can be amended to extend the time for service of process in Eighth Amendment prison-conditions case) (principal co-counsel)

*Karas v. Cal. Dep’t of Corr. & Rehab.*, 2023 WL 8889552 (9th Cir. 2023) (concerning the operation of California scheme for awarding “good time” to state prisoners) (co-lead counsel)

*Grace v. Bd. of Trustees, Brooke East Boston*, 85 F.4th 1 (1st Cir. 2023) (concerning whether to impose Title IX liability based on a school’s alleged deliberate indifference to homophobic and transphobic bullying) (principal co-counsel)

*Harrison v. Brookhaven Sch. Dist.*, 82 F.4th 427 (5th Cir. 2023) (whether funding job training for employees on a discriminatory basis violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) (lead counsel)

*Hamilton v. Dallas County*, 79 F.4th 494 (5th Cir. 2023) (en banc) (whether discriminatory shift changes violate Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) (principal co-counsel)

*Jackson v. Sheriff of Winnebago Cnty., Ill.*, 74 F.4th 496 (7th Cir. 2023) (concerning the scope of liability for delay in the provision of medical care by prison officials under the Fourteenth Amendment’s Due Process Clause) (lead counsel)

*Robinson v. Dep’t of Homeland Sec. Off. of Insp. Gen.*, 71 F.4th 51 (D.C. Cir. 2023) (concerning whether statute of limitations for certain employment-discrimination claims is a jurisdictional bar or a non-jurisdictional claim-processing rule) (lead counsel)

*A.P. v. Fayette Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 2023 WL 4174070 (11th Cir. 2023) (concerning the scope of a school district’s liability under Title IX for student-on-student sexual assault) (principal co-counsel)

*Naes v. City of St. Louis, Missouri*, 2023 WL 3991638 (8th Cir. 2023) (whether discriminatory job transfers violate Title VII) (principal co-counsel)

*Responsible Adult Q.T. v. Pottsgrove Sch. Dist.*, 70 F.4th 663 (3d Cir. 2023) (concerning who qualifies as a “parent” eligible to represent children in need of special-education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) (lead counsel)



*Pyankovska v. Abid*, 65 F.4th 1067 (9th Cir. 2023) (whether the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine immunizes a lawyer’s use in court of recordings unlawfully intercepted under federal and state Wiretap Acts; also addressing the breadth of damages liability under the federal Act) (lead counsel)

*Moss v. Miniard*, 62 F.4th 1002 (6th Cir. 2023) (whether a criminal defendant was provided ineffective assistance of counsel in violation of the Sixth Amendment) (principal co-counsel)

*Burrell v. Staff*, 60 F.4th 25 (3d Cir. 2023) (whether a scheme to extract work for \$5 per day at a county recycling center from imprisoned civil debtors violates the Thirteenth Amendment, the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, RICO, and the Fair Labor Standards Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Wallace v. Performance Contractors, Inc.*, 57 F.4th 209 (5th Cir. 2023) (concerning the requirements for stating Title VII sex-discrimination, sexual-harassment, and retaliation claims) (lead counsel)

*Stafford v. George Washington University*, 56 F.4th 50 (D.C. Cir. 2022) (concerning the applicable “borrowed” state statute of limitations for a Title VI discrimination claim) (principal co-counsel)

*Johnson v. Ryan*, 55 F.4th 1167 (9th Cir. 2022) (whether Arizona’s procedures for placing and keeping a prisoner in solitary confinement violates due process; also concerning First Amendment retaliation issues) (principal co-counsel)

*Creech v. Ohio Dep’t of Rehab. & Corr.*, 2022 WL 4138415 (6th Cir. 2022) (concerning the circumstances under which prisoners state a claim against state prisons under the Americans with Disabilities Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Famous v. Fuchs*, 38 F.4th 625 (7th Cir. 2022) (concerning statute-of-limitations issues under the federal habeas statute) (principal co-counsel)

*Zicarelli v. Dart*, 35 F.4th 1079 (7th Cir.) (concerning a range of statutory interpretation issues under the Family and Medical Leave Act), *cert. denied*, 35 F.4th 1079 (2022) (principal co-counsel)

*Chambers v. District of Columbia*, 35 F.4th 870 (D.C. Cir. 2022) (en banc) (concerning the breadth of discrimination covered by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) (co-lead counsel and oralist)

*Sartori v. Schrodt*, 2021 WL 6060975 (11th Cir. 2021) (concerning issues under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and the Secured Communications Act) (lead counsel)

*Garrett v. Murphy*, 17 F.4th 419 (3d Cir. 2021) (concerning a range of issues under the Prison Litigation Reform Act’s “three-strikes” provision) (principal co-counsel)

*Threat v. City of Cleveland*, 6 F.4th 672 (4th Cir. 2021) (whether a shift change is a “term, condition, or privilege of employment” under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) (co-lead counsel)

*Castaneda Medina v. Garland*, 849 Fed. App’x 674 (9th Cir. 2021) (concerning the proper administrative forum for entertaining an immigrant’s challenge to an *in absentia* removal order) (lead counsel)

*C.W. v. Denver County School Dist. No. 1*, 994 F.3d 1215 (10th Cir. 2021) (concerning appellate jurisdiction in cases remanded to administrative adjudicators under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) (lead counsel)

*Molina-Aranda v. Black Magic Enterprises*, 983 F.3d 779 (5th Cir. 2020) (concerning pleading standards for wage-theft/visa-fraud claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act and RICO) (lead counsel)

*Rad v. Attorney General of the U.S.*, 983 F.3d 651 (3d Cir. 2020) (whether a legal permanent resident may be removed from the United States on the ground that he committed an “aggravated felony” under the Immigration and Nationality Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Thomas v. Baca*, 827 F. App’x 777 (9th Cir. 2020) (concerning the right to religious meals for prisoners under the First Amendment and the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act) (principal co-counsel)

*Creese v. City of New York*, 815 F. App’x 586 (2d Cir. 2020) (concerning liability under the Fourth Amendment for false arrest) (lead counsel)

*Taylor v. JP Morgan Chase Bank*, 958 F.3d 556 (7th Cir. 2020) (concerning common-law rights to mortgage modification under the Great Recession modification program, HAMP) (principal co-counsel)

*Real v. Perry*, 810 F. App’x 776 (11th Cir. 2020) (concerning Fourth Amendment and due process rights to be free from excessive force by government officers) (lead counsel)

*Morales-Morales v. Barr*, 933 F.3d 456 (5th Cir. 2019) (concerning breadth of immigration law’s criminal-jurisdiction bar and entitlement to relief under the Convention Against Torture) (lead counsel)

*Jones v. Medtronic*, 745 F. App’x 714 (9th Cir. 2018) (whether certain state-law tort claims are preempted by federal medical-device regulation) (lead counsel)

*United States v. Mitchell*, 905 F.3d 991 (6th Cir. 2018) (concerning appropriate resentencing for prisoner who won habeas corpus relief on various grounds) (principal co-counsel)

*Alvarez v. City of Brownsville*, 904 F.3d 382 (5th Cir. 2018) (en banc) (whether a criminal defendant's right to material exculpatory evidence under *Brady v. Maryland* applies in plea bargaining) (lead counsel)

*Haywood v. Massage Envy Franchising, LLC*, 887 F.3d 329 (7th Cir. 2018) (concerning the breadth of the consumer-protection statutes of Missouri and Illinois) (principal co-counsel)

*Balbed v. Eden Park Guest House*, 881 F.3d 285 (4th Cir. 2018) (concerning circumstances under which employees who live and work on employers' premises are entitled to minimum wage and overtime pay under the Fair Labor Standards Act and state wage-and-hour laws) (lead counsel)

*M.R. v. Ridley Sch. Dist.*, 868 F.3d 218 (3d Cir. 2017) (concerning circumstances in which parents of a child with a disability be awarded attorney fees under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act for enforcing the Act's "stay-put" provision) (lead counsel)

*Koby v. ARS Nat'l Servs., Inc.*, 846 F.3d 1071 (9th Cir. 2017) (whether and in what circumstances, under the Magistrates Act and Article III, a non-Article III magistrate judge may enter a final appealable judgment approving a class-action settlement) (lead counsel on relevant issues)

*Freeman v. Dal-Tile Corp.*, 750 F.3d 413 (4th Cir. 2014) (concerning the standard for imputation of liability to employer based on third-party sexual and racial harassment under Title VII) (principal co-counsel)

*Day v. Persels & Assocs., LLC*, 729 F.3d 1309 (11th Cir. 2013) (same issues as *Koby* above) (sole counsel on relevant issues)

*Hecht v. United Collection Bureau*, 691 F.3d 218 (2d Cir. 2012) (concerning the propriety of a collateral attack on a final class-action settlement on the basis of constitutionally inadequate notice) (lead counsel)

*McBurney v. Young*, 667 F.3d 454 (4th Cir. 2012) (whether a state freedom of information statute that gives only that state's citizens the right to obtain public records violates Article IV's Privileges and Immunities Clause and the dormant Commerce Clause) (principal co-counsel)

*Klier v. Elf Atochem North America, Inc.*, 658 F.3d 468 (5th Cir. 2011) (whether and in what circumstances the cy pres doctrine may be used to distribute left over class-action settlement funds) (lead counsel)

*McBurney v. Cuccinelli*, 616 F.3d 393 (4th Cir. 2010) (whether plaintiffs had standing to bring constitutional challenge to Virginia law limiting use of Virginia’s FOIA to Virginia citizens) (principal co-counsel)

*Public Citizen, Inc. v. Office of Management and Budget*, 598 F.3d 865 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (whether Freedom of Information Act requires release of unredacted versions of documents relevant to the question of which government agencies were permitted to bypass OMB’s legislative and budgetary clearance processes) (principal co-counsel)

*Alexander v. Cahill*, 598 F.3d 79 (2d Cir. 2010) (whether New York’s lawyer advertising restrictions violate the First Amendment) (principal co-counsel)

*Fellner v. Tri-Union Seafoods, L.L.C.*, 539 F.3d 237 (3d Cir. 2008) (whether FDA’s statements concerning the risks of eating tuna preempts state-law claims for damages from mercury poisoning) (principal co-counsel)

*Del Campo v. Kennedy*, 517 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir. 2008) (whether private debt collector working under contract with prosecutors was an “arm of the state” entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity) (principal co-counsel)

*Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Ass’n, Inc. v. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admin.*, 494 F.3d 188 (D.C. Cir. 2007) (challenge to Department of Transportation regulations on commercial truck drivers’ hours of service) (principal co-counsel)

*Peoples v. CCA Detention Centers*, 422 F.3d 1090 (10th Cir. 2005), *effectively aff’d by equally divided en banc court*, 449 F.3d 1097 (10th Cir. 2006) (whether *Bivens* authorizes an Eighth Amendment claim against a prison guard employed by a private prison corporation under contract with the federal government) (principal co-counsel)

*Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety v. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admin.*, 429 F.3d 1136 (5th Cir. 2005) (challenge to Department of Transportation regulation on entry-level training for commercial truck drivers) (principal co-counsel)

*In re Community Bank of Northern Virginia*, 418 F.3d 277 (3d Cir. 2005) (whether and in what circumstances district courts have authority to abrogate class members’ previously exercised opt-outs to class members from consulting with their individually retained lawyers) (lead counsel)

*Public Citizen v. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admin.*, 374 F.3d 1209 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (first challenge to Department of Transportation regulations on commercial truck drivers’ hours of service) (principal co-counsel)

*In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Prods. Liab. Litig. (Custer)*, 350 F.3d 360 (3d Cir. 2003) (whether defendant may be indemnified by class action settlement for costs of defending and

settling collateral attacks on that settlement) (lead counsel)

*Martin v. Medtronic, Inc.*, 254 F.3d 573 (5th Cir. 2001) (whether federal medical device laws preempt state-law product liability claims) (lead counsel)

*In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Prods. Liab. Litig. (Sambolin)*, 246 F.3d 315 (3d Cir. 2001) (whether notice and registration deadline in mass-tort class action settlement comported with Rule 23 and due process) (lead counsel)

*In re Telectronics Pacing Systems, Inc.*, 221 F.3d 870 (6th Cir. 2000) (whether non-opt-out class action settlement comported with Rule 23 and due process) (principal co-counsel)

*Hart v. Bayer Corp.*, 199 F.3d 239 (5th Cir. 2000) (whether Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act completely preempts state-law product liability claims and thus establishes federal jurisdiction) (lead counsel)

*Mitchell v. Collagen Corp.*, 126 F.3d 902 (7th Cir. 1997) (whether federal law preempts state-law tort claim arising from medical device that has received FDA pre-market approval) (lead counsel on remand from Supreme Court)

*Dillon v. United States*, 184 F.3d 556 (6th Cir. 1999) (en banc) (whether the requirement that a notice of appeal name the court to which appeal is taken under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 3(c) is a jurisdictional prerequisite to appeal) (lead counsel for amicus)

*Duhaime v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Co.*, 183 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 1999) (whether class members in class action that has been settled can enter into side-settlement of their claims without disclosure to, or approval by, district court under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23) (lead counsel)

*In re Prudential Ins. Co. of America Sales Practices Litig.*, 148 F.3d 283 (3d Cir. 1998) (justiciability and class certification challenge to nationwide class action insurance fraud settlement) (lead counsel for arguing amicus Public Citizen)

*Roberts v. Florida Power & Light*, 146 F.3d 1305 (11th Cir. 1998) (whether state-law-based tort claims are preempted by Price Anderson Act amendments to the Atomic Energy Act) (lead counsel)

*Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 132 F.3d 1147 (6th Cir. 1998) (challenge to fee award methodology for post-settlement monitoring in common-fund class action) (lead counsel)

*Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 102 F.3d 777 (6th Cir. 1996), *aff'g Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 922 F. Supp. 1261 (S.D. Ohio 1996), *recon. denied*, 927 F. Supp. 1036 (S.D. Ohio 1996) (challenge to \$33 million fee request in class action settlement regarding defective heart valve implanted in 80,000 patients) (lead counsel)

*Arent v. Shalala*, 70 F.3d 610 (D.C. Cir. 1995) (challenge to regulations concerning labeling of fresh fish and produce) (lead counsel)

*In re General Motors Corp. Pick-up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 55 F.3d 768 (3d Cir.) (striking down class action settlement concerning 5.7 million owners of allegedly defective GM trucks), *cert. denied*, 516 U.S. 824 (1995) (lead counsel for objectors in Third Circuit; lead counsel in opposition to certiorari)

*Jones v. Brown*, 41 F.3d 634 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (interpretation of 1992 statute applying fee-shifting statute to Court of Veterans Appeals) (follow-up to *Karnas* below) (lead counsel)

*Worm v. American Cyanamid Co.*, 5 F.3d 744 (4th Cir. 1993) (whether state-law tort claims are preempted by the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (principal co-counsel)

*Benavides v. Bureau of Prisons*, 995 F.2d 269 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (whether federal regulation denying access to certain medical records is lawful under the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act) (lead counsel)

*Lidy v. Sullivan*, 911 F.2d 1075 (5th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 500 U.S. 959 (1991), *on remand*, No. 92-1035 (5th Cir.) (due process challenge to federal regulation denying right to cross-examine author of adverse medical report in social security disability hearing) (lead counsel in Supreme Court and on remand)

*Miles v. Metropolitan Dade County*, 916 F.2d 1528 (11th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 502 U.S. 898 (1991) (challenge to housing authority policy imposing costs on tenants in non-meritorious eviction proceedings) (lead counsel in Supreme Court)

*Johnson v. Sullivan*, 919 F.2d 503 (8th Cir. 1991) (challenge to below-market attorney's fees recoveries in social security cases) (sole counsel)

### *United States District Courts*

*Bloche v. Dep't of Defense*, 279 F. Supp. 3d 68 (D.D.C. 2017) (availability under the Freedom of Information Act of records concerning government's use of medical professionals to conduct "enhanced interrogation" in the War on Terror) (lead counsel)

*Batson v. Branch Banking and Trust Co.*, 2012 WL 4479970 (D. Md. 2012) (religious accommodation and discrimination case under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) (lead counsel)

*Nicholls v. U.S. Office of Personnel Management*, 863 F. Supp. 2d 4 (D.D.C. 2012) (concerning the scope of agency responsibilities to respond to requests for public records under the Freedom of Information Act) (sole counsel)

*Benavides v. Bureau of Prisons*, 774 F. Supp.2d 141 (D.D.C. 2011) (concerning availability of digital recordings of inmate-lawyer phone calls under Freedom of Information Act) (sole counsel)

*Brigham v. Office of Workers Compensation Programs*, 477 F. Supp.2d 160 (D.D.C. 2007) (whether Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act applies to clean-up efforts at nuclear and other facilities operated by or under contract with Department of Energy) (principal co-counsel)

*In re American Historical Ass'n*, 49 F. Supp.2d 274 (S.D.N.Y. 1999) (whether Alger Hiss grand-jury records should be made public in light of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6(e)) (principal co-counsel)

*Duhaime v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Co.*, 2 F. Supp.2d 175 (D. Mass. 1998) (whether and under what circumstances fees can be awarded to objectors to class action settlement if their efforts improve the settlement for class as a whole) (lead counsel for objectors)

*Duhaime v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Co.*, 989 F. Supp. 375 (D. Mass. 1997) (whether fees to class action plaintiffs' counsel should be staged to align interests of lawyers and clients) (principal co-counsel for objectors)

*Public Citizen v. Shalala*, 932 F. Supp. 13 (D.D.C. 1996) (challenge to FDA exemption for restaurant menus under nutrient-content and health claims provisions of federal food labeling law) (lead counsel)

*In re Ford Motor Co. Bronco II Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 1995 U.S. Dist. Lexis 3507 (E.D. La. 1995) (challenge to class action settlement in case affecting owners of rollover-prone Bronco II vehicle) (lead counsel for objectors)

*South Dakota v. Madigan*, 824 F. Supp. 1469 (D.S.D. 1993), *appeals dismissed*, Nos. 93-2869, et al. (challenge to federal policy adversely affecting food stamp benefits of low-income housing residents) (lead counsel)

*Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 143 F.R.D. 141 (S.D. Ohio 1992) (represented objector Public Citizen in seeking improvements to worldwide class-action settlement concerning defective heart valve implanted in 85,000 patients) (lead counsel)

*Larry v. Yamauchi*, 753 F. Supp. 784 (E.D. Ark. 1990) (precursor to *South Dakota v. Madigan*) (lead counsel)

*Tolson v. Sheridan School Dist.*, 703 F. Supp. 766 (E.D. Ark. 1988) (whether termination of public employee violated Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause) (sole counsel)

*Williams v. Patterson*, 1988 WL 124742 (E.D. Ark. 1988) (class action challenge to regulation that included recoupments of public benefit overpayments as income to food

stamp recipients) (sole counsel)

***Other reported federal cases***

*Scarborough v. Nicholson*, 19 Vet. App. 253 (Vet. App. 2005) (attorney's fees litigation on remand from Supreme Court) (lead counsel)

*In re Kissinger*, 106 B.R. 180 (E.D. Ark. Bankr. 1989) (whether debtor met standards for non-discharge of consumer debt in bankruptcy on ground of fraud) (sole counsel)

***Representative state-court cases***

*Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid, Inc. v. Education and Workforce Development Cabinet of Ky.*, 2010 WL 4316924 (Ky. Cir. Ct. 2010) (whether state regulation barring public access to records of growers who employ migrant workers is invalid under state and federal law) (lead counsel)

*Conte v. Wyeth, Inc.*, 168 Cal.App.4th 89 (Cal.App. 2008) (whether name-brand prescription drug manufacturers have a duty of care to patients who are prescribed the generic version of their drugs) (lead counsel)

*In re Hager*, 812 A.2d 904 (D.C. 2002) (bar disciplinary case regarding ethical obligations of lawyers in class action-like setting, in particular regarding whether ethical rules demand disgorgement of ill-gotten attorney's fees) (lead counsel for Public Citizen)

*R.F. v. Abbott Laboratories*, 745 A.2d 1174 (N.J. 2000) (whether federal law preempts state-law claim that defective HIV screening test resulted in plaintiff's HIV infection) (lead counsel)

*R.T., et al. v. Patterson*, 301 Ark. 400 (1990), *on remand*, No. 87-2689 (Pulaski Cty., Ark. Chancery, 3rd Div.) (challenge to operation of various aspects of Arkansas foster care system) (co-counsel for class)

*Garibaldi v. Dietz*, 25 Ark. App. 136 (1988) (en banc) (standards for termination of parental rights of mentally ill, but medically controlled, parent) (sole counsel)

***Representative unreported litigation***

*Williamson v. Genentech, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-01840-JSC (N.D. Cal.) (nationwide class-action consumer-law challenge to cancer-drug marketing practices)

*Eley v. Gov't Printing Office*, No. 09-2158 (D.D.C.) (Title VII challenge to race- and retaliation-based non-promotion)

*Briggs v. United States*, No. CV-07-5760 (N.D. Cal.) (class-action challenge to government



agency's practice of debt collection beyond the applicable statute of limitations) (co-counsel for class)

*Hayden v. Atochem North America Inc.*, No. 99-20249 (5th Cir. Feb. 21, 2000) (challenge to mandatory personal-injury class action concerning arsenic exposure) (lead appellate counsel for objectors)

*Karnas v. Derwinski*, No. 92-7046 (Fed. Cir.) (appeal concerning whether attorney's fees are available to prevailing claimants in cases before new Court of Veterans Appeals) (lead counsel)

*People With AIDS Health Group v. Burroughs Wellcome Co.*, No. 91-574-JGP (D.D.C) (consumer challenge to validity of AZT patent) (lead counsel)

*Gulley v. Patterson*, No. LR-C-88-563 (E.D. Ark.) (class action challenge to Arkansas policies regarding the child support "pass through" for AFDC recipients) (sole class counsel)

*Blundell v. Sharp*, No. PB-C-86-268 (E.D. Ark.) (class action challenge to operation of housing project for violations of right to privacy and other constitutional, statutory, and common-law rights) (sole class counsel)

*Public Citizen, Inc. v. Shiley Incorporated*, No. C755862 (Los Angeles Sup. Ct.), *aff'd*, No. B051171 (Cal. Ct. App., 2d Dist.) (case under California consumer protection laws seeking worldwide notification of patients implanted with defective heart valve) (lead counsel)

### **Presentations at academic and educational seminars**

Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights and Urban Affairs, "Workers' Rights Supreme Court Webinar: *Muldrow v. St. Louis*" (interview with Hannah Mullen), May 1, 2024

Georgetown Law Clinics and the Georgetown University Racial Justice Institute, "Promoting Justice—Advancing Racial Equity Through Student Practice in Legal Clinics," Panel on "Racial Justice Lawyering in Court," Washington, D.C., March 3, 2023

The Legal Academy, "Effective advocacy in the courts of appeals," Washington, D.C., June 7, 2022

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, "Freedom of Information Act," December 9, 2021

Texas Special Education Attorney Conference, "Federal Court Appeals in Special Education Cases," San Antonio, June 18, 2021

American Bar Association, Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources, "The Supreme

Court Year in Review: The Environmental Cases” (presentation on Supreme Court’s DACA decision), September 25, 2020

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, Class Action Symposium, “A Model Approach to Cy Pres Awards” (moderator), Boston, November 17, 2019

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Stating the Case and Brief Writing Tips,” Boston, November 15, 2019

U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims Bar Association, “Veterans Law at the U.S. Supreme Court,” Washington, D.C., October 10, 2019

Georgetown Journal on Poverty Law & Policy and Georgetown Law Clinical Programs, “Waiver of Justice: Right to *Brady* in the age of plea bargaining—A discussion on *Alvarez v. City of Brownsville* from counsel for the plaintiff and amici,” Washington, D.C., November 6, 2018

Food and Drug Law Institute, FDA—Past, Present, and Future, “On Drugs: Renovating Preemption” (commenter on draft law-review article), Washington, D.C., October 19, 2018

The Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics 2018 Symposium, “Hard Issues in Public Interest Law—Ethical Issues Arising from Changing Practice Structures in Public Interest Law” (moderator), Washington, D.C., March 3, 2018

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, Class Action Symposium, “Hot Topics in Ethical Class Action Practice” (moderator), Washington, D.C., November 19, 2017

National Academy for IDEA Administrative Law Judges and Impartial Hearing Officers, “*Andrew F.*: Facts, Issues, Arguments, Opinion” and “*Andrew F.*: Application—How to Determine FAPE,” Washington, D.C., July 13, 2017

Education Writers Association, 70th EWA National Seminar, “High Stakes for Schools in High Court Actions,” Washington, D.C., May 31, 2017

American Academy of Appellate Lawyers, Spring Conference, “Legal Scholarship and Appellate Advocacy,” Boston, April 8, 2017

District of Columbia Bar, Continuing Legal Education Presentation, U.S. “Supreme Court in Focus: *Andrew F. v. Douglas County School District*,” Washington, D.C., March 27, 2017

West Coast Progressive Lawyering Conference, “Translating Native Values into Legal Terms: Working with and through NAGPRA,” Stanford, CA, October 17, 2015

The Impact Fund, 13th Annual Class Action Conference, “Protecting Your Plaintiffs and the Class: Rule 68 and Other Pick-Off Tactics,” Berkeley, CA, February 27, 2015

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, Class Action Symposium, “Ethics Update: The 2014 Edition of the NACA Consumer Class Action Guidelines” and “The Economics of Objecting for All the Right Reasons,” Tampa, November 8, 2014

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “How Appellate Developments and Strategies Will Affect Your Practice,” Tampa, November 7, 2014

Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims Bar Association, Annual Conference, “Attorney Fees Panel,” Washington, D.C., June 6, 2014

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Class Action Developments and Roundtable” and “How Appellate Developments and Strategies Will Affect Your Practice,” Washington, D.C., November 7, 2013

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Recent Appellate Decisions That Will Affect Your Practice,” Seattle, October 26, 2012

Egyptian American Rule of Law Association (and others), “Rule of Law: Rhetoric vs. Reality in Egypt’s Transition to Democracy” (discussing comparative open government law), Washington, D.C., October 10, 2012

Association of the Bar of the City of New York, “Supreme Court: A Year in Review,” New York, July 18, 2012

National Association of Appellate Court Attorneys, “When Should Judges Raise Issues Sua Sponte,” Washington, D.C., July 17, 2012

The District of Columbia Bar, Antitrust and Consumer Law Section, “Recent Developments in Mandatory Arbitration,” Washington, D.C., April 3, 2012

The Food & Drug Law Inst., “An FDLI Dialogue: A First Look at the IOM Study of the 510(k) Clearance Process,” Washington, D.C., August 4, 2011

Association of the Bar of the City of New York, “Supreme Court: A Year in Review,” New York, July 20, 2011

George Washington University Law School, “Can the Google Books Settlement Be Fixed? A Roundtable Discussion Among Experts,” Washington, D.C., June 15, 2011

George Washington University Law School, “The Future of Arbitration: Channeling Class Actions into Single Claims Arbitrations,” Washington, D.C., March 17, 2011

Georgetown Consumer Law Society & the American Constitution Society, “*AT&T v. Concepcion*: Supreme Court Oral Argument Recap & Panel Discussion” (moderator), Washington, D.C., November 10, 2010

Uniform Law Commission and George Washington University Law School, “Federalism, Preemption and State Law: Legislating Areas of Shared Federal and State Interest,” Washington, D.C., October 29, 2010

Georgetown University Law Center, Supreme Court Institute Annual Press Briefing, “Anticipating the Supreme Court’s October Term 2010: What to Expect,” Washington, D.C., September 20, 2010

Association of the Bar of the City of New York, “Supreme Court: A Year in Review,” New York, July 21, 2010

National Center for State Courts, General Counsel Business Meeting, “Regulatory Preemption: Erosion of State Tort Law or Protection of the National Economy,” Washington, D.C., November 19, 2009

University of Maryland School of Law, Emerging Issues in Food & Drug Law, A National Conference for Lawyers, Policy-Makers, and Corporate Leaders, “Preemption and the Impact of *Riegel v. Medtronic* and *Wyeth v. Levine* on Drug and Device Labeling,” Baltimore, November 16, 2009

American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, Current Issues in Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Litigation, “Preemption: What’s Happening in Congress to Change the Landscape?,” Kenilworth, NJ, November 12, 2009

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, Class Action Symposium, “*Shady Grove* and Naked Class Action Bans: The Emerging Conflicts Between Federal and State Laws on Class Certification, Multistate Classes and Choice of Law Issues,” Philadelphia, October 25, 2009

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Class Action Roundtable,” Philadelphia, October 22, 2009

National Foundation for Judicial Excellence, Fifth Annual Judicial Symposium, “Mapping the Legal Frontier: The Uncertain Boundary Between Federal and State Law, The Role of State and Federal Courts in Federal Preemption Cases: A Spirited Discussion Between Adversaries at the Bar,” Chicago, July 11, 2009

American Constitution Society Annual Convention, “The Future of the Civil Jury System,” Washington, D.C., June 19, 2009

Biotechnology Industry Organization Annual Convention, “Preemption of Product Liability Litigation on FDA-Regulated Products,” Atlanta, May 19, 2009

Bureau of National Affairs Audio Conference, “Planning for a Post-*Levine* World: Implications and Strategies for Manufacturers, U.S. Consumers and the Courts,” May 12, 2009

The Federal Circuit Bar Association, Horizons Seminar Series, “The Equal Access to Justice Act: History, Principles and Practice,” Washington, DC, October 22, 2008

Stanford Law School Chapter of American Constitution Society, “Social Justice on Appeal: Progressive Appellate Litigators in the 21st Century,” Stanford, CA, September 3, 2008

University of Arkansas School of Law, “Public Interest Advocacy Before the U.S. Supreme Court,” Fayetteville, AR, March 3, 2008

American Enterprise Institute, “Federal Preemption and the Supreme Court,” Washington, D.C., February 21, 2008

New York State Bar Association, Annual Meeting of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Law Section, Panel on “Preemption (Drug and Device), Understanding Tort Preemption Claims,” New York, January 31, 2008

National Consumer Law Center, Class Action Symposium, Panel on Whether Reliance is an Element in UDAP Cases, Washington, DC, November 11, 2007

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Class Action Roundtable,” Washington, D.C., November 8, 2007

American Bar Association, “The Supreme Court: Good for Business?” (national teleconference), October 17, 2007

Environmental Law Institute, “Access to Courts After *Massachusetts v. EPA*: Who Has Been Left Standing?,” Washington, D.C., May 3, 2007

National Association of Attorneys General, 2007 Consumer Protection Seminar, “The Nuts and Bolts of Briefing Preemption Cases,” Washington, D.C., May 1, 2007

George Washington University Law School, Consumer Class Action Symposium, “Consumer Class Actions: Perspectives, Opportunities and Problems,” Washington, D.C., March 28, 2007

National Association of Attorneys General, Administrative Law and Preemption: Administrative Law for State Attorneys Handling Preemption Cases, “Practical Advice on Litigating Preemption Cases Involving Federal Agencies,” Washington, D.C., March 7, 2007

National Consumer Law Center, Class Action Symposium, “*Shutts*, Rule 23, and Other Class Action Issues,” Miami, November 13, 2006

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Litigating Consumer Cases in the Supreme Court,” Miami, November 12, 2006

American Bar Association, Section of Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice, Annual Meeting, “Federal Agency Preemption of State Tort Law,” Washington, D.C., October 26, 2006

University of Missouri at Kansas City, Symposium Commemorating 20th Anniversary of *Phillips Petroleum v. Shutts*, “The Right to Opt Out and Rule 23 Reform,” Kansas City, MO, April 7, 2006

National Consumer Law Center, Class Action Symposium, Panel on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, Minneapolis, October 30, 2005

National Hispanic Bar Association National Convention, “The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005: How Does It Affect Your Practice” (moderator), Washington, D.C., October 18, 2005

American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, The 9th Annual National Institute on Class Actions, “Class Actions in the Marble Palace: What if the Supremes Took on Rule 23(b)(2)?” (argued objector-petitioner’s position in moot court before panel of federal judges and lawyers), Chicago and San Francisco, September 23 and October 7, 2005

Association of Trial Lawyers of America, National College of Advocacy, “The Class Action Fairness Act: What Does This Mean For Your Practice,” National Electronic Seminar, March 3, 2005

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Rights Litigation Conference, “Class Action Settlements in Mortgage Lending Cases: Ethical Responsibilities and Practical Considerations,” Washington, D.C., November 7, 2004

Federal Trade Commission, Protecting Consumer Interests in Class Actions, “Special Ethics Concerns in Class Action Litigation,” Washington, D.C., September 13, 2004

American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, The 7th Annual National Institute on Class Actions, “Who Moved My Rule 23?” Washington, D.C., November 7, 2003

National Association of Attorneys General, 2003 Fall Consumer Protection Seminar, “Class Actions and the *Hager* Case,” Washington, D.C., October 22, 2003

Virginia Trial Lawyers Association Conference, 2003 Products Liability and Accident

Prevention Retreat, “Class Actions, the FDA, and Federal Preemption,” Williamsburg, VA, October 17, 2003

District of Columbia Bar, Annual Conference, “Secret Settlements: Should the Ground Rules Be Changed?” Washington, D.C., March 28, 2003

National Association of Insurance Commissioners, State Insurance Regulation: Successes, Challenges, and Opportunities, “Class Action Litigation Panel,” Washington, D.C., February 27, 2003

American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, The 6th Annual National Institute on Class Actions, “Overlapping and Competing Class Actions,” New York and Chicago, November 1 and 15, 2002

National Consumer Law Center, Consumer Class Action Seminar, “Class Action Management and Attorney Fees” (Attorney Fee Auctions), Baltimore, Maryland, October 29, 2001

Advisory Committee on Civil Rules, Class Action Conference, “Settlement Review,” Chicago, October 22-23, 2001

Harvard Law School, Harvard Journal on Legislation, “Social Reform Through the Class Action,” Cambridge, MA, March 14, 2000

FDLI 43rd Annual Educational Conference, “Should Compliance With FDA Requirements Bar Recovery Of Tort Damages For Harm Resulting From The Use Of An FDA-Regulated Product?” Washington, D.C., December 17, 1999

FDLI Law Symposium, “Regulatory Compliance: Should It Be A Bar To Tort Liability?” (commenter on series of papers), Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, D.C., October 8, 1999

National Grants Management Association Annual Meeting, Panel Discussion on Ethics of Government Lawyers, Bethesda, MD, May 12, 1999

The Federalist Society, 1998 National Lawyers Convention, “Class Action Litigation: Is Reform Needed Before American Business is Strangled?” Washington, D.C., November 13, 1998

ABA Annual Meeting, “Hot Issues in Class Action Litigation” (argued objector-appellant’s position in moot court before panel of federal appellate judges), Toronto, Ontario, August 4, 1998

FDLI Medical Device Update-98, “FDC Act Preemption & FDA’s Proposed Regulation,” Washington, D.C., June 24, 1998

ABA General Liability and Consumer Law Comm., “Emerging Issues in Motor Vehicle Product Liability Litigation” (Class Actions), Phoenix, April 3, 1998

ABA Toxic Tort and Environmental Law Committee, “Mass Tort and Environmental Litigation: Hot Issues in Class Actions,” Charleston, SC, March 14, 1998

FDLI 41st Annual Educational Conference, “The Implications of FDA’s Tobacco Regulations for FDA Regulated Industry, and Possible New Directions of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act,” Washington, D.C., December 9, 1997

Trial Lawyers Association of Metropolitan Washington, “David v. Goliath: Litigating for Plaintiffs in the Tort Reform Era—Procedural Pitfalls in Class Action Litigation,” Washington, D.C., March 21, 1997

Mealey’s Drug and Medical Device Litigation Conference, “Preemption and the *Lohr* Case,” West Palm Beach, Florida, November 22, 1996

Association of Trial Lawyers of America, “*Gore* and More: How to Survive Recent Supreme Court Decisions,” Washington, D.C., July 18, 1996

National Employment Law Project, Unemployment Compensation Advanced Litigation Training: “Recovery of Attorney & Expert Witness Fees,” Washington, D.C., June 6, 1995

The Institute for Judicial Administration, New York University School of Law, Research Conference on Class Actions and Related Issues in Complex Litigation, New York, April 21-22, 1995

### **Congressional and judicial testimony**

Testimony on Proposed Amendments to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 Before the Advisory Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Washington, DC, November 3, 2016

Testimony on H.R. 1996, The Government Litigation Savings Act, Before the House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law, October 11, 2011

Testimony on H.R. 5, the Help Efficient, Accessible, Low-cost, Timely Healthcare (HEALTH) Act of 2011, Before the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Health, April 6, 2011

Testimony on H.R. 1115, The Class Action Fairness Act of 2003, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, May 15, 2003

Testimony on Proposed Amendments to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 Before the



Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Washington, DC, January 22, 2002

Testimony Before the Third Circuit Task Force on the Selection of Class Counsel, Philadelphia, June 1, 2001

Testimony on H.R. 1875, The Interstate Class Action Jurisdiction Act of 1999, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, July 21, 1999

Testimony on H.R. 1283, The Fairness in Asbestos Compensation Act, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, July 9, 1999

Testimony on H.R. 2112, The Multidistrict, Multiparty, Multiforum Jurisdiction Act of 1999, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Courts and Intellectual Property, June 16, 1999

Testimony on S. 353, The Class Action Fairness Act of 1999, Before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Administrative Oversight and the Courts, May 4, 1999

Testimony on H.R. 3789, The Class Action Jurisdiction Act of 1998, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Courts and Intellectual Property, June 18, 1998

Testimony at oversight hearing on “Class Action Lawsuits: Examining Victim Compensation Attorneys’ Fees,” Before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Administrative Oversight and the Courts, October 30, 1997

Testimony on H.R. 4558, Regarding Reform of the Equal Access to Justice Act, Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Administrative Law and Governmental Relations, October 5, 1994

April 2024