

THE APPELLATE COURT BENCH MEMORANDUM¹

© 2019 The Writing Center at GULC. All rights reserved.

The bench memorandum is a document written by a law clerk for a judge, which the judge typically uses to prepare for oral arguments or motions hearings. Some judges also use the bench memo when drafting and revising opinions. Bench memos are typically associated with appellate judges, but trial court judges frequently utilize bench memos. Some of the Georgetown Legal Practice faculty require law fellows to write bench memos on the same topic on which their first-year students write their briefs. When first-year students have oral arguments on their briefs, the student judges will use the law fellows' bench memos to familiarize themselves with the parties' arguments.

The bench memo itself does NOT decide the case; it is not a brief by counsel or a judicial opinion. Rather, the bench memo simply *advises* a judge by offering an objective review of both sides of the case. As opposed to a brief, which advocates for one side in the case, the bench memo summarizes and develops both sides' arguments, recognizes the merits and drawbacks of those arguments, and recommends a course of action.³

When writing a bench memo, it is important to remember that it is the judge's job to neutrally apply the law to the facts. The bench memo must help judges get past the advocacy of the parties' briefs so that they can reach the decision that the law requires.⁴

¹ The handout was created in 2011 by Jessica Klarfeld and revised in 2019 by Pete McGinley.

² Stephen L. Wasby, Clerking for an Appellate Judge: A Close Look, 5 Seton Hall Circuit Rev. 59 (2008).

³ See Mary Dunnewold, Beth A. Honetschlager & Brenda L. Tofte, Judicial Clerkships: A Practical Guide 126 (2010).

⁴ *Id.* (noting that the bench memo must clearly and accurately describe the facts of the case, the procedural posture, the applicable law, the parties' arguments, and the clerk's independent analysis of the issue).

HOW BENCH MEMOS COMPARE TO MEMOS AND BRIEFS⁵

	Мемо	BENCH MEMO	Brief
PURPOSE	Memos discuss, recommend, and advise. The memo objectively informs a reader about what the law is. It illustrates what the outcome will likely be when the law is applied to a particular set of facts.	Bench memos discuss, recommend, and advise. The bench memo objectively informs the reader what the law is and what the parties' arguments are. It illustrates what the outcome should be when the law is applied to the facts of the case.	Briefs argue. The brief seeks to persuade the reader that your application of your law to the facts is the correct one. The goal is to win the case, using the law in the most favorable way to your client.
AUDIENCE	Another lawyer, supervising attorney, client.	Appellate judge, district judge, judicial clerk.	Opposing lawyer, appellate judge, judicial clerk, client.
STANCE	Objectivity in research is necessary when writing a memo. A memo writer can then use the outcome of that research to present his client's case most favorably. A memo writer, however, is clear about the strengths and weaknesses of his client's case.	Objectivity in research is necessary when writing a bench memo. A bench memo writer can use the outcome of that research to determine which party's arguments are stronger. A bench memo writer is clear about the strengths and weaknesses of each party's case.	Objectivity in research is necessary when writing a brief. A brief writer strives to use that research to create legal arguments and offer legal conclusions that cast his client's case favorably. A brief writer emphasizes the strengths, while minimizing the weaknesses, of his client's case.

LENGTH AND AUDIENCE OF A BENCH MEMO

The length of a bench memo can greatly vary, with the complexity of the case dictating the length of the bench memo. More complicated cases will likely require longer bench memos,

⁵ See The Writing Center, Georgetown University Law Center, From Memo to Brief (2004) (comparing memos and briefs), https://www.law.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/From-Memo-to-Appellate-Brief.pdf.

while simpler cases may only require a short bench memo. The writer should ensure that the bench memo is concise but thorough.⁶

When writing a bench memo, it is critically important that you clarify the expectations of your judge (or professor, if writing the bench memo as a law fellow) before starting to write. Knowing your audience is the first step in successful bench memo writing, and you must always do as the judge requests. Also, while stylistic and structural preferences of the judge are important, knowing your audience also requires knowing how your judge will use your writing. Some judges use the bench memo early in the process to help them develop an overall picture of the case; others read the bench memo last, once the facts and arguments are clear in their mind. It is also important to note that in appellate courts, the bench memo will likely be read by every member of the panel assigned to hear a case (typically three judges in intermediate appellate courts and the full court in state supreme courts), not just the clerk's own judge. When the bench memo arrives in another judge's chambers, that judge's clerks will review it and may write their own bench memo for their judge in response to it.

PREPARING TO DRAFT THE BENCH MEMO FOR AN APPELLATE COURT

When preparing to write a bench memo, you should carefully read the record, which includes the trial transcripts and the litigants' briefs. ¹² When reading these materials, you should identify which issues are before the court and which will be most prevalent during oral argument. ¹³ Next, you should review the legal sources cited by the litigants to ensure that they are current and begin conducting additional research to check for missing sources. ¹⁴ Finally, it is usually helpful to outline the bench memo before you begin writing. ¹⁵ Having a full outline of the bench memo will help you see if the bench memo covers all the issues and is organized effectively.

STRUCTURE OF A BENCH MEMO FOR AN APPELLATE COURT

A standard, full-case bench memo for an appellate court usually consists of the following parts: (I) Issues on Appeal, (II) Procedural Posture, (III) Statement of Facts, (IV) Standard of Review, (V) Analysis, and (VI) Recommendations.¹⁶

⁶ Jennifer Sheppard, *The "Write" Way: A Judicial Law Clerk's Guide to Writing for the Court*, 38 Balt. L. Rev. 114 (2008) ("A bench memorandum must not only be impartial and critical, but must be thorough enough to summarize the issues in the case without being so thorough that the judge would have been better served by reading the briefs and the record him or herself").

⁷ FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER, LAW CLERK HANDBOOK: A HANDBOOK FOR LAW CLERKS TO FEDERAL JUDGES (Sylvan A. Sobel, ed., 2d ed. 2007).

⁸ See The Writing Center, Georgetown University Law Center, In Chambers: Effective Writing Tips for Judicial Interns and Law Clerks (2005), https://www.law.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/In-Chambers-Effective-Writing-Tips-for-the-Judicial-Interns-and-Law-Clerks.pdf.

⁹ DUNNEWOLD, HONETSCHLAGER & TOFTE, supra note 3, at 127.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 126-27.

¹¹ Stephen Wasby, *supra* note 2, at 59.

¹² Jennifer Sheppard, *supra* note 6, at 114.

¹³ Id

¹⁴ *Id.* (noting that oral arguments or hearings may occur long after the briefs were filed, thus raising the possibility that the legal sources are outdated).

¹⁵ Id

¹⁶ Please note that these are examples of sections in a typical bench memo. Some judges or professors might have

I. Issues on Appeal

The issues should be framed such that the judge understands exactly what needs to be decided. An effective issue statement includes:

- (1) A reference to the relevant law;
- (2) The legal question; and
- (3) Any legally significant facts

In mentioning the legally significant facts, it is important that you maintain objectivity, addressing facts that are favorable to one side (and thus unfavorable to the other) and vice versa. The issues on appeal are often framed in terms of whether the trial court correctly or incorrectly decided an issue below. In framing it as "correctly," one could assume that the result you ultimately will reach is that whatever the district court did was proper. Similarly, in framing it as "incorrectly," one could assume that the result you ultimately will reach is that whatever the district court did was improper. This is a safe assumption, and given that you ultimately will provide a recommendation in the bench memo, it is appropriate to frame the issues as such. Issues can also be framed in terms of the standard of review (e.g., "Did the trial court abuse its discretion when . . . ?").

The following are examples of issues on appeal:

- 1. Under *Chimel v. California*, did the District Court *incorrectly suppress* a can of lye that an officer found in a cabinet in the hallway of Defendant's house approximately ten minutes after Defendant's arrest when the cabinet was an unobstructed twelve feet from Defendant, the officers lacked full control over Defendant, and Defendant was handcuffed in the back but had a history of violence?
- 2. Did the District Court *correctly determine* that the speech regulated under New Columbia's statute prohibiting video game retailers from selling sexually violent video games to minors constituted variable obscenity under *Ginsberg v. New York*, thus removing it from First Amendment protection?
- 3. Under Iowa Code Section 99.99.99, did the District Court *properly award custody* to the child's mother when the mother has been the child's main care provider and has never been known to physically punish her child, but she has a history of alcohol abuse and has been overheard shouting at other adults?¹⁷
- 4. Under the Sixth Amendment, did the trial court *abuse its discretion* and violate Mr. Robinson's right to a fair trial when, after the sheriff received a note indicating that someone would help Mr. Robinson escape from custody, it required him to wear a leg restraint during trial?¹⁸

II. Procedural Posture

The procedural posture is a summary of how the case arrived in the court and what happened in the lower courts. You should write the procedural posture in a neutral manner. This

different names for the sections or might break up the bench memo differently.

¹⁷ Mary Barnard Ray & Jill J. Ramsfield, Legal Writing: Getting It Right and Getting It Written 205 (5th ed. 2010).

¹⁸ DUNNEWOLD, HONETSCHLAGER & TOFTE, *supra* note 3, at 141.

section should describe what procedural steps led to the particular issue (in a trial court) or what happened in the court below (in an appellate court). For an appellate court, the procedural posture should note all important procedural facts (e.g., the trial court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, any significant motions' hearings, whether the court granted/denied any motions, etc.), and it should include specific dates so that the judge can understand the case chronologically.

III. Statement of Facts

The Statement of Facts is an objective description of both the background and the legally significant facts. In drafting the Statement of Facts, you should review the relevant sections of the record or transcript and all supporting documents. For a trial court bench memo, sources of facts can include documents in the trial court file, exhibits, transcripts from previous hearings, and your own notes. For an appellate court bench memo, facts will primarily be from the record, the parties' briefs, and any appendices to the briefs. Do not take the factual claims in the briefs and appendices at face value, though! You will need to confirm that the facts in the briefs and appendices are consistent with the record. If they are not, you should point out these inconsistencies in the bench memo.¹⁹

Only those facts that are necessary in understanding the context and that are relevant to the issues before the court should be included in the Statement of Facts. If you draft the Statement of Facts before writing the Discussion section, it is probably a good idea to be overly-inclusive. You can always delete any irrelevant facts in the revising and editing process. If you draft the Statement of Facts after writing the discussion section, however, you can better tailor the facts to what facts you use in outlining the parties' arguments.

IV. Standard of Review

The standard of review is the standard by which appellate courts measure errors made by trial courts on specific legal issues. The standard of review differs for each legal issue, and therefore, you must research that specific subject matter in order to determine what standard of review applies. It is crucial in determining how much leeway the court has in reviewing the trial court's decision. The common levels of review from most restrictive to least restrictive are: (1) arbitrary and capricious; (2) gross abuse of discretion; (3) abuse of discretion; (4) clearly erroneous; and (5) de novo.

The standard review can be determinative of the case, and therefore, you must understand what standard applies and then use that standard to frame your analysis. You can place the standard of review either as a separate section immediately preceding the discussion section or as the first sub-section in the discussion section.

V. Analysis

The analysis section is the core of the bench memo. Although you will be able to read both sides' briefs, the bench memo will require you to conduct independent research as well. You will need to both verify the legitimacy of the parties' positions and search for any further

¹⁹ DUNNEWOLD, HONETSCHLAGER & TOFTE, *supra* note 3, at 135.

authority that is not cited by either party. You may think that each party would cite to all relevant statutory law, case law, etc. in their briefs; however, never assume that this is the case! Further, never trust the attorneys' reasoning alone; it is your job to check each attorney's reasoning and measure it against both your own objective view and the reasoning of the other attorney. In short, you will ALWAYS want to conduct your own research, both to catch noncited authority and to check the validity of the arguments.

In writing the discussion section of a bench memo, you will want to synthesize rules, engage in analogical reasoning, address counterarguments, and eventually reach conclusions on which party has the stronger argument on a given element or factor.

Here is an example of how the analysis for a given element or factor could break down in a bench memo:

EXAMPLE OF ANALYSIS OF RULE

RULE	Even if the government shows a compelling state interest, to survive strict scrutiny, a content-based regulation must be the most narrowly tailored means to achieving that interest.
APPELLANT'S ARGUMENT	 New Columbia will argue that its statute is the most narrowly tailored because it is not overly broad, and any less restrictive alternatives would not be as effective in achieving its compelling state interest. The statute is not overly broad because it does not regulate the sale of sexually violent video games to adults Simply allowing the ESRB to self-police violent video game distribution would not adequately prevent minors from obtaining the games
APPELLEE'S ARGUMENT	 Video Gamers Alliance will argue that the restriction is overly broad and that there are less restrictive means by which New Columbia can advance its compelling state interest. The statute is overly broad because it chills the sales of violence-depicting video games that are actually protected by the First Amendment Less restrictive means would achieve New Columbia's interest of protecting against exposure of minors to video games featuring graphic sexual violence

The parties may use different cases in making their arguments. However, they may also use the same case—one party may analogize the facts of the precedent case to the facts in its case, and the other may try to distinguish the facts of the precedent case from facts in its case. When this happens, it is particularly important that the clerk dig into the case to determine which side (if any) is right about how it should apply to the current case.

EXAMPLE OF BOTH PARTIES RELYING ON SAME CASE

RULE	Unexplained noises from inside the house during an arrest weigh in favor of an officer's reasonable articulable suspicion that the area harbors dangerous third parties.
PRECEDENT CASE DISCUSSION	In <i>Virgil</i> , when officers went to the defendant's residence to arrest him, before the defendant opened the front door, the officers standing near the rear of the house heard sounds coming from inside the rear of the residence and alerted the officers at the front door. The officers, thus, had a reasonable articulable suspicion that a third party could be at the residence.
APPELLANT'S ARGUMENT	The State will argue that similar to <i>Virgil</i> , in which the officers heard sounds coming from inside the rear of the residence before the defendant answered the door, Officers Bird and Dent heard footsteps and a thud before Defendant opened the door, making them suspicious that there were other individuals in the house.
APPELLEE'S ARGUMENT	The defense, though, will attempt to distinguish <i>Virgil</i> from Defendant's case. Unlike in <i>Virgil</i> , in which the sounds the officers heard in the rear of the house could not have been made by the defendant approaching the door, in the present case, the sounds heard by the officers could have been consistent with Defendant walking to the door.
Conclusion	Overall, the sounds from inside Durden's house were fairly consistent with Durden answering the door, since the officers heard them as they waited at the front door. Therefore, the thud and footsteps do not weigh in favor of a finding of reasonable articulable suspicion. ²⁰

Like a brief, you will also want to include point headings in your bench memo to guide the reader through the parties' arguments. The point headings will include both the relevant legal issue for that given element or factor and any significant facts. Unlike a brief, though, your point headings will not be persuasive concise arguments for your side. They will be more objective questions or statements that address the relevant issues without favoring one side over the other. The following are examples of effective point headings:

- 1. Was Officer Dent's Search of the Ottoman Within the Cursory Visible Inspection of Places in Which a Person Might Be Hiding?
- 2. Was Officer Dent's Quick Search of the Cabinet "Incident to Arrest" When It Occurred Approximately Ten Minutes After Dent Initially Searched Defendant and the Entryway?

²⁰ Note that in the actual bench memo another case was discussed as well, and the combination of the discussion from these two cases resulted in the ultimate conclusion.

²¹ See The Writing Center, Georgetown University Law Center, Writing Effective Point Headings (2018), https://www.law.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Updated-Handout.Pointheadings.pdf.

- 3. Whether the Material Regulated by the Act Fits Within the Definition of Variable Obscenity Under *Ginsberg*.
- 4. Whether New Columbia has a Compelling Interest in Regulating Children's Exposure to Violent Video Game Content.

VI. Recommendations

The Recommendations section consists of your conclusions and advice on how you think the case should be decided. If the case is too close to call, you should note this. Again, you are not deciding the case, but after reading briefs from both sides, conducting extensive research, and analyzing all of the arguments, you should be able to provide the judge with a reasoned recommendation. You may also include recommendations throughout the Discussion section on how you think each individual element or factor may/should come out, but the recommendations in the Conclusion section will likely focus on the results on a larger scale (i.e., what the appellate court should do regarding the district court's holding).

The following are examples of recommendations (note the possibility of providing recommendations of varying length and detail):

- 1. The issue before the Court is whether the district court erred in granting the Motion to Suppress the can of lye. The can of lye was found in the hallway cabinet in Durden's house during a lawful search incident to arrest. The search of the cabinet was substantially contemporaneous to the arrest, and the cabinet itself was in Durden's immediate control. In considering the totality of the circumstances, Durden's history of violence and membership in a fight club, the lack of control of the officers, and the short unobstructed path between Durden and the cabinet all weigh in favor of the fact that the cabinet was in Durden's immediate control. These facts outweigh the fact that Durden was handcuffed in the back and was not threatening at the time of arrest. Thus, the district court incorrectly suppressed the can of lye, and this Court should reverse the district court's decision to suppress the evidence.
- 2. I respectfully recommend that the Court affirm Mr. Robinson's conviction for first-degree burglary because there was a need for the restraint, and the leg restraint was the least restrictive alternative under the circumstances. Therefore, the use of the leg restraint during Mr. Robinson's trial did not violate his right to a fair trial.

USING A BENCH MEMO AS A WRITING SAMPLE

A bench memo can make a great writing sample! Just make sure that if you use a bench memo that you write for a judge, you redact all party names and sensitive, identifying information. Also, check with the judge to make sure that he/she is okay with you using what you wrote as a writing sample and if there is any further information that needs to be redacted. You will not have this problem with a bench memo you write as a law fellow since the facts, parties, and issues are all developed by the professor. Lastly, if your bench memo is very long, chances are an employer will not want to read all of it. Select the best part(s) to submit and include a short paragraph describing what you have omitted for length considerations on your writing sample cover page.

FOR FURTHER REFERENCE

JILL BARTON, SO ORDERED: THE WRITER'S GUIDE FOR ASPIRING JUDGES, JUDICIAL CLERKS, AND INTERNS (2017).

CALVERT G. CHIPCHASE, FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT LAW CLERK HANDBOOK (2007).

REBECCA A. COCHRAN, JUDICIAL EXTERNSHIPS: THE CLINIC INSIDE THE COURTHOUSE (2d ed. 1999).

MARY L. DUNNEWOLD, BETH A. HONETSCHLAGER & BRENDA L. TOFTE, JUDICIAL CLERKSHIPS: A PRACTICAL GUIDE (2010).

FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER, LAW CLERK HANDBOOK: A HANDBOOK FOR LAW CLERKS TO FEDERAL JUDGES (Sylvan A. Sobel, ed., 2d ed. 2007).

JOSEPH L. LEMON, FEDERAL APPELLATE COURT LAW CLERK HANDBOOK (2007).