Basics About Thesis Statements

During your law school career, you probably will write a paper that requires a thesis statement. A thesis statement is an original, supportable hypothesis or assertion about a topic. The thesis targets a specific point or aspect of the law, articulates a problem, and ideally attempts to resolve it. In short, your thesis statement embodies your argument.

Your thesis statement develops from the topic you select. To jump-start this process and create a working thesis statement, try a device such as the “should … because …” thesis formulation; argue that a certain result should happen because of particular reasons.

You may want to develop your thesis statement early in your writing process to guide your research. You then can adjust your research or your thesis statement as necessary. To initially develop your thesis statement, read critically. Question what you read, and look for contradictions, oversights, and mistakes in texts. As you develop your argument and construct your paper, test your thesis against known and hypothetical situations, and modify the thesis to further refine or strengthen your proposition.

Possible Approaches for Thesis Statements

You can develop your thesis statement from a variety of approaches and angles. The following ideas may help you get started.

- Make an argument from a particular perspective, or identify and question another writer’s argument type.
  - Arguments from precedent assert that precedent is binding or should be extended, adopted, or overruled.
  - Interpretive arguments examine the language of constitutions, statutes, and regulations.
  - Normative arguments assert that a certain rule or result is good, justified by morality, social policy, economics, or justice between the parties.
  - Institutional arguments examine the appropriateness of the roles of the judiciary, legislature, or executive or address the administrative impact of a certain result.
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• Identify and resolve inconsistencies, logical errors, and omissions.
  o Examine issues the writer omits, logical fallacies, false dichotomies, unsupported
    empirical claims, claims not supported by cited authorities, or a court’s unstated
    reasons for a decision.

• Identify and question jurisprudential approaches.
  o Examine the approach a judge takes in a decision, and imagine the outcome from
    another perspective. Common American jurisprudential approaches include
    Formalism, Legal Realism, Legal Process, Fundamental Rights, Law and Economics,
    Critical Legal Studies, and Feminism.

• Probe the context of a decision, law, or issue.
  o Examine the relation of a statutory provision to the larger document.
  o Consider predecessor statutes or legislative history.
  o Look at lower court decisions that reveal material that may have been simplified or
    omitted.
  o Examine a decision’s place in a larger social or historical context.
  o Compare a case to cases in analogous subject areas.
  o Consider the ramifications and consequences of a decision.
  o Consider interdisciplinary comparisons.

• Try problem-solving.
  o Place yourself in the position of the parties and imagine alternative arguments and
    outcomes.
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Sample Thesis Statements

- Specifically, this paper argues that law school legal writing courses should include instruction on statutory drafting because most law school graduates will need to know either how to draft statutes or the skills used in statutory drafting, and thus, statutory drafting is a necessary skill for all lawyers.

- The widespread support of the Act by an overwhelming majority in Congress and by the public indicates that personal interests of lawmakers did not prevail over policy considerations that advance the public will while promoting the public good.

- This paper will demonstrate that streamlining sales tax regimes across the United States will have virtually no effect on consumers and will capture an untapped tax base for states.

- A broader, more robust notion of legal pedagogy would permit more opportunities for students to read actively and to respond in speech and in writing, tasks that are fundamental to professional lawyering. An approach that integrates these skills would transform legal education from training individuals to “think like lawyers” to creating individuals who use their full developmental capacities to generate legal thought.

- Every day in the Writing Center, Senior Writing Fellows exercise skills necessary for successful negotiations. Deliberate and conscious promotion of those skills can make the Fellows better in their tasks at the Writing Center and in negotiations in other contexts, while transforming the Center’s clients into more collaborative, creative, and empowered legal writers and legal community members.

- This paper suggests that you take your natural abilities even further. Don’t save your innate expressivist abilities for emergency situations. You should use expressivist techniques, like freewriting or journal writing, even when you have weeks or days to write your brief, memo, or opening statement.

- Novice legal writers who become re-seers by incorporating resolving, reconceiving, and revisiting into their revision process will become more effective and efficient writers.

- This paper proposes that legal writing has not improved in part because the current approach to teaching legal writing prevents students from learning how to become better legal writers.

- The democratization of Taiwan has forced the [Nationalist Party and the Chinese Communist Party] to leave the zero-sum game of dominance in order to explore other options that meet the interests of all involved parties.
• If courts are to consider the propriety of class counsel’s conduct in the class certification process, then generally accepted standards for evaluation of that conduct are essential to both the judicial process and counsel who undertake class representation.¹

• [This article] suggests that the private incentives to litigate may often be inadequate in terms of their social benefits.²

• [Fee awards to plaintiffs’ attorneys in class actions] are a serious problem which will only add to the disrepute of the legal profession if the profession does not engage in some serious self-analysis.³

• The ethics rules are inadequate to handle conflicts that arise in public interest practice.⁴

• This paper examines how poor information handling by the federal government contributed to the chemical contamination and cleanup problems in Spring Valley and how better communication within and between federal agencies, with local governments, and with the public could have produced results more quickly and with less animosity.⁵
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